Graphs and complexes of lattices

Sam Hughes
sam.hughes@soton.ac.uk
University of Southampton

$\mathbf{CAT}(0)$ spaces

A geodesic metric space X is $\mathbf{CAT}(0)$ if for every geodesic triangle $P = \triangle(p, q, r) \subseteq X$ there exists a comparison triangle in $\mathbb{E}^2$ with the same side lengths as P such that for each pair of points $x, y \in \partial P$ we have:

$$d_X(x, y) \leq d_{\mathbb{E}^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$



A group is $\mathbf{CAT}(0)$ if it acts properly cocompactly by isometries on a $\mathbf{CAT}(0)$ space.

Examples

- $\mathbb{E}^n$;
- Trees;
- Non-compact symmetric spaces (e.g. $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{H}^2$);
- Infinite buildings.

Lattices

Let $H = \mathbf{Isom}(X)$ be a locally compact group with Haar measure μ. A discrete subgroup $\Gamma \leq H$ is:

- a *lattice* if X/Γ has finite covolume;
- a *uniform lattice* if X/Γ is compact.

For a lattice Γ in a product $\prod_{i=1}^n H_i$ we say Γ is:

- *irreducible* if the projection to each subproduct of the H_i is non-discrete;
- *reducible* otherwise.

Examples

- Crystallograhic groups in $\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^n)$;
- Free groups acting on trees in $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$;
- Arithmetic subgroups of Lie groups e.g. $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ in $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})^2$;
- Graph products of finite groups acting on right-angled buildings.

Questions

Let X be a metric space and $H = \mathbf{Isom}(X)$ with Haar measure μ.

1. *Does H have lattices?*
2. *What are properties of a generic lattice in H?*
3. *Do the properties of lattices in H reflect properties of H?*
4. *Can we classify lattices in H up to isomorphism, commensurability, or isometry?*

Trees

A *tree* $\mathcal{T}$ is a connected graph with no loops.



Figure 1: A 4-regular tree.

Theorem (Bass-Kulkarni 1990)

1. $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ *admits a uniform lattice if and only if* $\mathcal{T}$ *is the universal cover of a finite connected graph.*
2. *Lattices in* $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ *are fundamental groups of graphs of groups acting faithfully on their Bass-Serre tree. In particular, any uniform lattice is virtually free.*

Biautomatic groups

- An *automatic group* is a finitely generated group equipped with several finite-state automata. These automata represent the Cayley graph of the group. That is, they can tell if a given word representation of a group element is in a "canonical form" and can tell if two elements given in canonical words differ by a generator.
- A group is *biautomatic* if it has two multiplier automata, for left and right multiplication by elements of the generating set, respectively.

Until 2019 it was not known if every $\mathbf{CAT}(0)$ group is biautomatic.

Leary-Minasyan groups

Let $A \in \mathbf{O}(2)$, let L_1 be a finite index subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and let $L_2 = A(L_1)$. Consider the following graph of groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 \circlearrowleft L_1^t = L_2$$

We call the fundamental group a *Leary-Minasyan group*. Such a group has the following presentation:

$$\mathbf{LM}(A) = \langle a, b, t \mid [a, b],\ tL_1t^{-1} = L_2 \rangle$$

The group is equipped with a representation into $\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^2)$ which can be described as follows:

$$\phi : \mathbf{LM}(A) \to \mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^2) \quad \text{by} \quad \begin{cases} a \mapsto [1, 0]^T \\ b \mapsto [0, 1]^T \\ t \mapsto \quad A. \end{cases}$$

The group acts freely cocompactly on $\mathbb{E}^2 \times \mathcal{T}$ where $\mathcal{T}$ is the Bass-Serre tree. In particular $\mathbf{LM}(A)$ is a $\mathbf{CAT}(0)$ group. Note that the construction generalises to $\mathbb{E}^n \times \mathcal{T}$.

Example

Concretely we can take

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3/5 & -4/5 \\ 4/5 & 3/5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_1 = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

in this case

$$\mathbf{LM}(A) = \langle a, b, t \mid [a, b],\ ta^2b^{-1}t^{-1} = a^2b,\ tab^2t^{-1} = a^{-1}b^2 \rangle.$$



Figure 2: The action of a Leary-Minasyan group on $\mathbb{E}^n$. The orthogonal matrix maps the green squares to the blue squares.

Theorem (Leary-Minasyan 2019)

Let $\Gamma = \mathbf{LM}(A)$, $\mathcal{T}$ be the Bass-Serre tree of Γ and $T = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$. Then Γ is virtually biautomatic if and only if A has finite order if and only if Γ is reducible as an $(\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^n) \times T)$-lattice.

Uniform $\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^n) \times T$)-lattices

The following lemma gives a rough classification of $\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^n) \times T$-lattices.

Lemma (H. 2021)

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a locally finite unimodular leafless tree not quasi-isometric to $\mathbb{E}$ and let $T = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$. Every uniform lattice in $\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^n) \times T$ splits as a finite graph of virtually abelian groups.

Using the lemma we can prove a number of generic properties for irreducible lattices in $\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^n) \times T$.

Theorem (H. 2021)

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a locally finite unimodular leafless tree not quasi-isometric to $\mathbb{E}$ and let $T = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$. Let Γ be a uniform $(\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^n) \times T)$-lattice. The following are equivalent:

1. *Γ is an irreducible $(\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^n) \times T)$-lattice;*
2. *Γ is irreducible as an abstract group;*
3. *Γ acts on $\mathcal{T}$ faithfully;*
4. *Γ does not virtually fibre;*
5. *Γ is C^*-simple;*
6. *and if $n = 2$, Γ is non-residually finite and not virtually biautomatic.*

The theorem is optimal in the sense that we can show for $n \geq 3$ all irreducible lattices are non-residually finite and not virtually biautomatic. However, there are also reducible lattices with these properties (consider $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbf{LM}(A)$).

Theorem (H. 2021)

Let $n \geq 2$ and let X be a pentagonal building of thickness $10n$. There exist uniform lattices acting on $\mathbb{E}^n \times X$ which are not virtually biautomatic.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my PhD supervisor Professor Ian Leary for his guidance and support.

References

[1] Hyman Bass and Ravi Kulkarni.
Uniform tree lattices.
J. Amer. Math. Soc., 3(4):843–902, 1990.

[2] Hyman Bass and Alexander Lubotzky.
Tree lattices, volume 176 of *Progress in Mathematics*.
Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
With appendices by Bass, L. Carbone, Lubotzky, G. Rosenberg and J. Tits.

[3] David B. A. Epstein, James W. Cannon, Derek F. Holt, Silvio V. F. Levy, Michael S. Paterson, and William P. Thurston.
Word processing in groups.
Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992.

[4] Sam Hughes.
Graphs and complexes of lattices.
in preparation, 2021.

[5] Ian J. Leary and Ashot Minasyan.
Commensurating HNN-extensions: non-positive curvature and biautomaticity.
arXiv:1907.03515 [Math.GR], 2019.