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#### Abstract

We prove that if the $n$th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of a group is nonzero then its $n$th BNSR invariant over $\mathbb{Q}$ is empty, under suitable finiteness conditions. We apply this to answer questions of Friedl-Vidussi and Llosa Isenrich-Py about aspherical Kähler manifolds, to verify some cases of the Singer Conjecture, and to compute certain BNSR invariants of poly-free and poly-surface groups.


## 1. Introduction

It was shown by Lück [Lüc94] that non-vanishing $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers $b_{n}^{(2)}$ obstruct finite CW complexes being homotopic to mapping tori. In the case of the classifying space of a group $G$, this can be restated in terms of finiteness properties of the kernel of a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (see [Lüc02, Theorem 6.63] for the statement regarding connected CW complexes).

Theorem 1.1 (Lück). Let $G$ be a group of type $\mathrm{F}_{n}$, that is admitting a classifying space with finite n-skeleton, and let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be an epimorphism. If $b_{n}^{(2)}(G) \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is not of type $\mathrm{F}_{n}$.

Bieri, Neumann, Renz, and Strebel [BNS87, BR88] introduced a family of group invariants which are intimately related to the finiteness properties of kernels of homomorphisms $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In spite of this, the precise relation between the $n$th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number and the $n$th BNSR invariants $\Sigma^{n}$ has remained mysterious. Indeed, the question is rather subtle: for $n=1$, Brown [Bro87] gave a characterisation of the integral characters $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ in $\Sigma^{1}(G)$ as those that are induced by $G$ splitting as an ascending HNN extension over a finitely generated group; such a splitting gives $G$ the structure of an algebraic mapping torus. There is however no such characterisation for $n>1$; the best result in this direction seems to be a theorem of Renz for $n=2$ [Ren89]. Our main theorems clarify the link between the BNSR invariants and $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers in both the cases of groups and spaces.

Theorem A. Let $G$ be a group of type $\operatorname{FP}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$. If $b_{n}^{(2)}(G) \neq 0$, then $\Sigma^{n}(G ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$.

[^0]Recall that type $\mathrm{FP}_{n}$ is a homological version of type $\mathrm{F}_{n}$; we give a formal definition in Section 2.G.

The theorem was already known for RFRS groups (see [HK22, Theorem 5.10] building on [Kie20] and [Fis21]). It is also significantly easier to establish this theorem when $G$ is torsion free and known to satisfy the Atiyah conjecture. We have an analogous statement for CW complexes.
Theorem B. Let $X$ be a connected $C W$ complex with finite n-skeleton. If $b_{n}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{X} ; \pi_{1} X\right) \neq 0$, then $\Sigma^{n}(X ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$.

A special case of the above result with $X$ being a closed smooth manifold can be deduced from work of Farber [Far00, Corollary 2] (based on [NS86]). The methods used here are of a very different nature: they are ring-theoretic rather than analytic, as is the case of Farber.

For a commutative ring $R$, recall that an $n$-dimensional Poincaré duality complex $M$ over $R$ (or a $\mathrm{PD}_{n}(R)$-complex) is a finitely dominated connected CW complex with a distinguished class $[M]$ in the $n$th homology group $H_{n}(M ; D)$, where $D$ is an $R G$ module isomorphic to $R$ as a group, such that the cap product

$$
[M] \frown-: H^{k}(M ; A) \rightarrow H_{n-k}\left(M ; A \otimes_{R} D\right)
$$

is an isomorphism for all choices of local coefficients $A$ over $R$, where the action on $A \otimes_{R} D$ is diagonal. Similarly, a $\mathrm{PD}_{n}(R)$-group is a group of type $\mathrm{FP}(R)$ with cohomological dimension $\operatorname{cd}_{R}(G)=n$ for which $D=H^{n}(G ; R G)$ is isomorphic to $R$ as an abelian group and $H^{i}(G ; M) \cong H_{n-i}\left(G ; M \otimes_{R} D\right)$ for all $R G$-modules $M$ (here $M \otimes_{R} D$ is equipped with the diagonal action).

Note that the fundamental group of an aspherical $\mathrm{PD}_{n}(R)$-complex is a finitely presented $\mathrm{PD}_{n}(R)$-group, but for $n \geqslant 4$ there exist examples of $\mathrm{PD}_{n}(R)$-groups which are not finitely presented [Dav98a, Dav98b] (in fact there are uncountably many such groups [Lea18, KLS20]).

The motivation for the authors to begin investigating the connection between vanishing of the $n$th BNSR invariants (over $\mathbb{Q}$ ) and non-vanishing of the $n$th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number was provided by the following question which appeared in [FV21, remark after Proposition 3.4] and [LP22, Question 7].

Question 1.2 (Friedl-Vidussi, Llosa Isenrich-Py). Let $M$ be a closed aspherical Kähler $2 n$-manifold. If $\chi(M) \neq 0$, is $\Sigma^{n}\left(\pi_{1} M\right)$ empty?

In fact we are able to give an affirmative answer to the more general question obtained by dropping the hypotheses 'aspherical' and 'Kähler' and instead studying the BNSR invariants of the manifold.
Corollary C. Let $M$ be a closed connected $2 n$-manifold or (more generally) a finite $\mathrm{PD}_{2 n}(\mathbb{Q})$-complex. If $\chi(M) \neq 0$, then $\Sigma^{n}(M)=\Sigma^{n}(M ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$. In particular, if $M$ is additionally aspherical, then $\Sigma^{n}\left(\pi_{1} M\right)=\Sigma^{n}\left(\pi_{1} M ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=$ $\varnothing$.

Remark 1.3. A near-identical proof yields the following result: If $G$ is a $\mathrm{PD}_{2 n}(\mathbb{Q})$-group such that $\chi(G) \neq 0$, then $\Sigma^{n}(G ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$.

The Singer Conjecture is one of the major unresolved problems regarding $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers and the topology of manifolds. For more information the reader is directed to [Lüc02, Chapter 11].

The Singer Conjecture. If $M$ is a closed aspherical n-manifold, then $b_{p}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{M} ; \pi_{1} M\right)=0$ for all $p \neq n / 2$.

The Singer Conjecture can clearly be generalised to $\mathrm{PD}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$-groups; in this setting we have the following corollary.
Corollary D. Let $G$ be a $\mathrm{PD}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$-group and let $k=\lceil n / 2\rceil-1$. If $\Sigma^{k}(G ; \mathbb{Q}) \neq$ $\varnothing$, then the Singer Conjecture holds for $G$.
The idea behind the proofs. The main technical result is Proposition 5.1, in which we prove that if a chain complex over a group ring $\mathbb{Q} G$ has vanishing Novikov homology up to some dimension, then its $\ell^{2}$-homology vanishes as well, up to the same dimension. Novikov homology has been shown by Sikorav to encode the BNSR invariants, and $\ell^{2}$-homology can also be understood algebraically, as homology with coefficients in the algebra $\mathcal{U} G$ of operators affiliated to the group von Neumann algebra of $G$. Hence, we are trying to show that if we have a partial chain contraction defined over the Novikov ring, then we also have one over $\mathcal{U} G$. We do this in two steps: first, in Proposition 3.6 we show that one does not need to work with the whole Novikov ring, but instead it is enough to use the division closure of $\mathbb{Q} G$ inside the Novikov ring. Then, in Proposition 4.24 , we show that there is a ring that we call the ring of weakly rational elements of $\mathcal{U} G$, that is simultaneously a subring of $\mathcal{U} G$, and an overring of the division closure of $\mathbb{Q} G$ in the Novikov ring.

The construction of the ring of weakly rational elements is where the blood, sweat, tears, and toil went. In an ideal world, for example when $G$ is torsion free and satisfies the Atiyah conjecture, one argues as follows: the Novikov ring corresponding to $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ consists naturally of twisted Laurent power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q} \operatorname{ker} \phi$, and so in particular in the Linnell skew field $\mathcal{D} \operatorname{ker} \phi$, a particularly useful subring of $\mathcal{U} \operatorname{ker} \phi$. Since twisted Laurent polynomials over $\mathcal{D}$ ker $\phi$ satisfy the Ore condition, one can look at the rational functions, and it is not hard to show that, on the one hand, such rational functions contain the division closure of $\mathbb{Q} G$ inside the Novikov ring, and on the other, are naturally contained in $\mathcal{U} G$, even though the ring of Laurent power series is not. Unfortunately, the authors were unable to show that the twisted Laurent polynomial ring satisfies the Ore condition - this remains an open problem. Instead, we show that this ring satisfies an approximate version of the Ore condition, which allows us to form a ring of 'approximate rational functions', that is precisely the ring of weakly rational elements.

Outline of the paper. In Section 2, we give the relevant background on $\ell^{2}$-homology, BNSR theory, some ring theoretic tools, and several rings and algebras related to a countable discrete group that we will need in our proofs, with particular emphasis on group von Neumann algebras.

In Section 3, we prove that vanishing of Novikov homology can be passed to a division-closed subring. We highlight two results of independent interest: The first is Proposition 3.1 that states that for a discrete group $G$ the division closure of $R G$ in a Novikov ring $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$ is equal to its rational closure. The second is Proposition 3.3 that gives a method for taking chain contractions over $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$ and rebuilding them over the division closure of $R G$.

Section 4 is the technical heart of the paper. Here we introduce the ring of weakly rational elements of $\mathcal{U} G$, and prove that it contains the division closure of $\mathbb{Q} G$ inside of the Novikov ring.

In Section 5, we prove each of the results A - D.
In Section 6, we prove a characteristic $p$ version of Theorem A and Theorem B (see Theorem 6.4) for groups whose group rings admit embeddings into certain universal skew fields. We also give a simplified proof of A - D when $G$ is torsion free and satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture.

In Section 7, we detail a number of example applications of our results. In Theorem 7.2 we prove that $\Sigma^{n}(G ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$ when $G$ is a poly-elementarilyfree group of length $n$. This class includes poly-free and poly-surface groups. The result generalises [KV22, Proposition 1.5] where the authors compute $\Sigma^{2}(G)$ for groups isomorphic to $F_{n} \rtimes F_{m}$ or $\pi_{1} \Sigma_{g} \rtimes \pi_{1} \Sigma_{h}$ with $n, m, g, h>1$ (see the first part of [KW19, Theorem 6.1] for the case $F_{2} \rtimes F_{m}$ ); here $\Sigma_{g}$ is the closed orientable connected surface of genus $g$. We also highlight how our Theorem A applies to real and complex hyperbolic lattices.
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## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout, all rings are assumed to be associative and unital. All groups will be discrete, and modules will be right modules, unless stated otherwise.
2.A. Group von Neumann algebras. Let $G$ be a countable group and let $\ell^{2} G$ denote the Hilbert space of square summable formal sums of elements of $G$ with complex coefficients, that is the space of expressions

$$
\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_{g} g \text { such that } \sum_{g \in G}\left|\lambda_{g}\right|^{2}<\infty \text { where } \lambda_{g} \in \mathbb{C} \text {. }
$$

The group $G$ acts on $\ell^{2} G$ by right multiplication.
Definition 2.1 (Group von Neumann algebra). We define the group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N} G$ of $G$ to be the algebra of $G$-equivariant bounded operators $\ell^{2} G \rightarrow \ell^{2} G$.

Since $G$ acts on $\ell^{2} G$ from the right, it is natural to view $\mathcal{N} G$ as operating from the left on $\ell^{2} G$. In particular, the copy of $\mathbb{C} G$ in $\mathcal{N} G$ acts on $\ell^{2} G$ from the left. Since $\mathcal{N} G$ contains a copy of $\mathbb{C} G$, multiplication turns it into a $\mathbb{C} G$-bimodule.

One of the key features of group von Neumann algebras is that for every closed $G$-invariant subspace $V$ of $\ell^{2} G$, there is an associated projection $\pi_{V} \in$ $\mathcal{N} G$; it is a self-adjoint idempotent with $\operatorname{im} \pi_{V}=V$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{V}=V^{\perp}$.

Another important class of operators in $\mathcal{N} G$ are partial isometries, that is operators $u$ such that $u^{*} u=\pi_{(\operatorname{ker} u)^{\perp}}$. It is easy to see that this implies that $\operatorname{im} u$ is closed and that $u u^{*}=\pi_{\mathrm{im} u}$.

Definition 2.2 (Polar decomposition). The polar decomposition of $s \in \mathcal{N} G$ is a canonical factorization $s=v p$ where $v$ is a partial isometry and $p$ is a non-negative operator, and both lie in $\mathcal{N} G$. Furthermore, $v^{*} v$ is the projection onto $\operatorname{ker} s^{\perp}$, and $v v^{*}$ is the projection onto the closure of $\mathrm{im} s$, whence it easily follows that $\operatorname{ker} v=\operatorname{ker} s$ and $\operatorname{im} v$ is the closure of $\operatorname{im} s$.

The group von Neumann algebra comes equipped with the von Neumann trace tr. There is a canonical dimension function $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G}$, taking values in $[0, \infty]$, defined on $\mathcal{N} G$-modules called the von Neumann dimension - the precise definition and basic properties we use can be found in [Lüc02, Chapter 6]. One can also define such a dimension for closed $G$-invariant subspaces of $\ell^{2} G$, in which case it is equal to the von Neumann trace of the corresponding projection. Since the only projection with zero trace is 0 , the only $G$-invariant subspace of $\ell^{2} G$ of von Neumann dimension zero is the trivial subspace.

We will also use the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3 (Linnell). [Lin92, Theorem 4] Let $H \lessgtr G$ be such that $G / H$ is right orderable with total order $\leqslant$ and let $\varphi: G \rightarrow G / H$ be the natural epimorphism. Let $T$ be a transveral for $H$ in $G$, let $x \in \ell^{2} G$, and write $x=\sum_{t \in T} x_{t} t$ where $x_{t} \in \ell^{2} H$ for all $t \in T$. Suppose that there exists $t_{0} \in T$ such that $x_{t}=0$ for $t$ with $\varphi(t)<\varphi\left(t_{0}\right)$. If $x_{t_{0}} y^{\prime} \neq 0$ for all non-zero $y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N} H$, then $x y \neq 0$ for all non-zero $y \in \ell^{2} G$.

## 2.B. $\ell^{2}$-homology and Betti numbers.

Definition 2.4 ( $\ell^{2}$-homology and Betti numbers). Let $X$ be a $G$-CW complex. We define the $\ell^{2}$-homology of $X$ with respect to $G$ as

$$
H_{p}^{G}(X ; \mathcal{N} G):=H_{p}\left(C \bullet(X ; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \mathcal{N} G\right)
$$

where $C \bullet(X ; \mathbb{Q})$ is the cellular chain complex of $X$ with rational coefficients considered as a complex of free $\mathbb{Q} G$-modules.

We define the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of $X$ with respect to $G$ to be

$$
b_{p}^{(2)}(X ; G):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} H_{p}^{G}(X ; \mathcal{N} G)
$$

The $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of a group $G$ are defined to be the $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers of the universal free $G$-space $E G$. A number of properties of $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers can be found in [Lüc02, Theorem 6.54].

The $\mathcal{N} G$-dimension does not change when one qoutients an $\mathcal{N} G$-module by its torsion.

There are two other ways of defining $\ell^{2}$-homology; for details, see [Lüc98]. One can look at the reduced homology of the complex

$$
C \bullet(X ; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \ell^{2} G,
$$

where reduced means that we divide kernels by closures of images. This way the homology groups are actually closed subspaces of powers of $\ell^{2} G$, and one can look at the von Neumann dimension of such a subspace. The dimension coincides with the $\ell^{2}$-Betti number, as defined above.

The third way of defining $\ell^{2}$-homology involves the algebra of affiliated operators $\mathcal{U} G$, which we will define in a moment. One can look at the homology of $C_{\bullet}(X ; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \mathcal{U} G$, which ends up being equal to the homology of $C \bullet(X ; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \mathcal{N} G$ tensored with $\mathcal{U} G$. This has essentially the effect of
quotienting the $\ell^{2}$-homology as we defined it by the torsion. There is again a notion of a dimension for $\mathcal{U} G$-modules, and again one obtains the same Betti numbers.
2.C. Ore localisation. In this section we will describe an analogue of localisation for non-commutative rings.

Definition 2.5. Let $R$ be a ring. An element $x \in R$ is a zero-divisor if $x \neq 0$, and $x y=0$ or $y x=0$ for some non-zero $y \in R$. A non-zero element that is not a zero-divisor will be called regular.

Definition 2.6 (Right Ore condition). Let $R$ be a ring and $S \subseteq R$ a multiplicatively closed subset consisting of regular elements. The pair $(R, S)$ satisfies the right Ore condition if for every $r \in R$ and $s \in S$ there are elements $r^{\prime} \in R$ and $s^{\prime} \in S$ satisfying $r s^{\prime}=s r^{\prime}$.

Definition 2.7 (Right Ore localisation). If $(R, S)$ satisfies the right Ore condition we may define the right Ore localisation, denoted $R S^{-1}$, to be the following ring. Elements are represented by pairs $(r, s) \in R \times S$ up to the following equivalence relation: $(r, s) \sim\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if there exists $u, u^{\prime} \in R$ such that the equations $r u=r^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ and $s u=s^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ hold, and $s u=s^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ belongs to $S$. The addition is given by

$$
(r, s)+\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)=\left(r c+r^{\prime} d, t\right), \text { where } t=s c=s^{\prime} d \in S
$$

and the multiplication is given by

$$
(r, s)\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)=\left(r c, s^{\prime} t\right), \text { where } s c=r^{\prime} t \text { with } t \in S
$$

There is a natural ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R S^{-1}$ defined by $r \mapsto(r, 1)$. For more information on this construction the reader is referred to [Pas85, Section 4.4]. Note that there is also an analogously defined left Ore condition.

## 2.D. The algebra of affiliated operators.

Definition 2.8 (Affiliated operators). We say that an operator

$$
f: \operatorname{dom}(f) \rightarrow \ell^{2} G
$$

with $\operatorname{dom}(f) \subseteq \ell^{2} G$ is affiliated (to $\mathcal{N} G$ ) if $f$ is densely defined with domain $\operatorname{dom}(f)$, is closed, and is a $G$-operator, that is, $\operatorname{dom}(f)$ is a linear $G$-invariant subspace and $f(x) . g=f(x . g)$ for all $g \in G$ (recall that $G$ acts on $\ell^{2} G$ on the right).

The set of all operators affiliated to $\mathcal{N} G$ forms the algebra of affiliated operators $\mathcal{U} G$ of $G$.

Since an adjoint of a densely defined closed operator is densely defined and closed, every $x \in \mathcal{U} G$ has a well-defined adjoint $x^{*} \in \mathcal{U} G$.

Note that we have inclusions of $\mathbb{Q} G$-modules

$$
\mathbb{Q} G \longmapsto \mathbb{C} G \longmapsto \mathcal{N} G \longmapsto \mathcal{U} G
$$

For more information on these constructions the reader is referred to [Lüc02] - specifically Theorem 8.22 and more generally Chapter 8. We highlight one theorem of special importance to us.

Theorem 2.9. [Lüc02, Theorem 8.22(1)] The set $S$ of regular elements of $\mathcal{N} G$ forms a right Ore set. Moreover, $\mathcal{U} G$ is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathcal{N} G) S^{-1}$.

The algebra $\mathcal{U} G$ is von Neumann regular, with explicit control on what the partial inverses look like. In particular, for every $x \in \mathcal{U} G$ there exists a canonical $x^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{U} G$ such that $x x^{\dagger}=\pi \overline{\operatorname{im} x}$ as an affiliated operator. Note that in reality the composition $x \circ x^{\dagger}$ is defined only on $\operatorname{im} x \oplus(\operatorname{im} x)^{\perp}$, and coincides with $\pi_{\overline{\mathrm{im} x}}$ on this subspace. However, the graph of this composition is not closed, and hence the composition is not an affiliated operator. It can be extended to one, and this extension is precisely $\pi_{\overline{\mathrm{im} x}}$. Similarly, we have $x^{\dagger} x=\pi_{(\operatorname{ker} x)^{\perp}}$. We also have $\operatorname{ker} x^{\dagger}=(\operatorname{im} x)^{\perp}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{im} x^{\dagger}}=(\operatorname{ker} x)^{\perp}$.

The partial inverse can be constructed directly, as in the proof of [Lüc94, Lemma 8.3(2)], or its existence can be deduced algebraically, as in [JZ19, Proposition 3.2].

## 2.E. Division and rational closures.

Definition 2.10 (Division and rational closure). Let $R$ be a ring and $S$ a subring. We say that $S$ is division closed if every element of $S$ invertible over $R$ is invertible over $S$. We say that $S$ is rationally closed if every finite square matrix over $S$ invertible over $R$ is invertible over $S$.

Define the division closure of $S$ in $R$, denoted by $\mathcal{D}(S \subset R)$, to be the smallest division-closed subring of $R$ containing $S$. Define the rational closure of $S$ in $R$, denoted by $\mathcal{R}(S \subset R)$, to be the smallest rationally closed subring of $R$ containing $S$.

## 2.F. Twisted polynomial rings.

Definition 2.11 (Twisted polynomial ring). Let $R$ be a ring and let $R\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ be the abelian group of Laurent polynomials over $R$. Given a homomorphism $\nu: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ we may endow $R\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ with a non-commutative multiplication given by

$$
x t^{m} \cdot y t^{n}=x \nu\left(t^{m}\right)(y) t^{m+n}
$$

and extended linearly. We call this new ring the ring of twisted Laurent polynomials over $R$ with respect to $\nu$ or simply a twisted Laurent polynomial ring.

Remark 2.12. Suppose that $G$ splits as $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. The group ring $R G$ is isomorphic to a ring of twisted Laurent polynomials $R H\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ in a natural way.
2.G. BNSR invariants. In this section we follow the treatment of Farber-Geoghegan-Schütz [FGS10, Section 2]. Note that the authors only give statements and proofs for BNSR invariants over the ring $\mathbb{Z}$, however the arguments easily generalise to arbitrary rings $R$.

Let $R$ be a ring. A monoid $M$ is of type $\mathrm{FP}_{n}(R)$ if there exists a projective resolution $P_{\bullet} \rightarrow R$ of the trivial $R M$-module $R$ with $P_{i}$ finitely generated for $i \leqslant n$. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group. Define $S(G)=\operatorname{Hom}(G ; \mathbb{R}) \backslash\{0\}$. Given $\varphi \in S(G)$, define a submonoid of $G$ by

$$
G_{\varphi}:=\{g \in G: \varphi(g) \geqslant 0\} .
$$

Definition 2.13 (Homological BNSR invariants of groups). Let $R$ be a ring and $G$ be a group of type $\mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{n}}(R)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$. For $\varphi \in S(G)$ we write $\varphi \in \Sigma^{n}(G ; R)$ if $G_{\varphi}$ is of type $\operatorname{FP}_{n}(R)$.

For $R$ a ring, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $C$. a chain complex over $R$, we say that $C$. has finite $n$-type if there exists a finitely generated chain complex $P_{0}$ of projective $R$-modules and a chain map $f: C_{\bullet} \rightarrow P_{\bullet}$ such that $f_{i}: H_{i}\left(C_{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow H_{i}\left(P_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}\right)$ is an isomorphism for $i<n$ and an epimorphism for $i=n$. In this case we call $f$ an $n$-equivalence.

Let $C$ • be a chain complex of $R G$-modules and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

$$
\Sigma^{k}\left(C_{\bullet} ; R\right):=\left\{\varphi \in S(G): C_{\bullet} \text { is of finite } k \text {-type over } R G_{\varphi}\right\} .
$$

Definition 2.14 (Homological BNSR invariants of spaces). Let $X$ be a connected CW complex with finite $n$-skeleton. For $k \leqslant n$ the $k$-th (homological) BNSR invariant of $X$ over $R$ is defined to be

$$
\Sigma^{k}(X ; R):=\Sigma^{k}(C \cdot(\tilde{X} ; R) ; R),
$$

where $\widetilde{X}$ is the universal cover of $X$, and $C \bullet(-; R)$ denotes the cellular chain complex with coefficients in $R$.

Definition 2.15 (Homotopic BNSR invariants of groups and spaces via closed 1 -forms). Let $X$ be a connected CW complex with finite $n$-skeleton and with $\pi_{1} X=G$. Let $\omega$ be a closed 1-form on $X$ representing $\varphi \in S(G)$ and let $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. We define the $k$-th homotopic BNSR invariant of $X$ to be the set $\Sigma^{k}(X) \subseteq S(G)$ defined as follows: $\varphi \in \Sigma^{k}(X)$ if there exists an $\epsilon>0$ and a cellular homotopy $H: X^{(k)} \times I \rightarrow X$ such that $H(x, 0)=x$ and

$$
\int_{\gamma_{x}} \omega \geqslant \epsilon
$$

for all $x \in X^{(k)}$, where $\gamma_{x}:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ is given by $\gamma_{x}(t)=H(x, t)$. For a group $G$ we take $\Sigma^{n}(G):=\Sigma^{n}(B G)$.

The following theorem essentially combines Theorem 4, Proposition 3, and Corollaries 1 and 2 of [FGS10]; we have taken the liberty to state these results over a general ring $R$.

Theorem 2.16 (Basic properties of BNSR invariants). Let X be a connected $C W$ complex with finite $n$-skeleton and with $\pi_{1} X=G$, and let $R$ be a ring. Then,
(1) $\Sigma^{k}(X)$ and $\Sigma^{k}(X ; R)$ are open subsets of $S(G)$;
(2) $\Sigma^{k}(X) \subseteq \Sigma^{k}(X ; R)$;
(3) if $\tilde{X}$ is $k$-connected then

$$
\Sigma^{k}(X)=\Sigma^{k}(G) \text { and } \Sigma^{k}(X ; R)=\Sigma^{k}(G ; R)
$$

and

$$
\Sigma^{k+1}(X) \subseteq \Sigma^{k+1}(G) \text { and } \Sigma^{k+1}(X ; R) \subseteq \Sigma^{k+1}(G ; R) ;
$$

(4) if $X$ is finite, then for all $k \geqslant \operatorname{dim} X$ we have

$$
\Sigma^{k}(X)=\Sigma^{\operatorname{dim} X}(X) \text { and } \Sigma^{k}(X ; R)=\Sigma^{\operatorname{dim} X}(X ; R) .
$$

## 2.H. Novikov-Sikorav homology.

Definition 2.17 (Novikov-Sikorav ring). Let $G$ be a finitely generated group, let $\varphi \in S(G)$, and define the Novikov-Sikorav ring of $G$ with respect to $\varphi$ to be

$$
\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}:=\left\{\sum_{g \in G} n_{g} g: \mid\left\{g: n_{g} \neq 0 \text { and } \varphi(g)<t\right\} \mid<\infty \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

Definition 2.18 (Truncation). Given an element $x=\sum_{g \in G} n_{g} g \in \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$ and a real number $r$, we define the truncation of $x$ at $r$ to be the element $\sum_{g \in G} n_{g}^{\prime} g$ where

$$
n_{g}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
n_{g} & \text { if } & \varphi(g) \leqslant r \\
0 & \text { if } & \varphi(g)>r
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that the truncation lies in $R G$.
Definition 2.19 (Novikov-Sikorav homology). Let $X$ be a CW complex with $\pi_{1} X=G$ and let $\varphi \in S(G)$. The Novikov-Sikorav homology

$$
H_{\bullet}^{G}\left(\tilde{X} ; \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)
$$

of $X$ is the $G$-equivariant homology of $\tilde{X}$, the universal cover of $X$, with non-trivial coefficients $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$, that is, the homology of the chain complex $C \bullet(\tilde{X} ; R) \otimes_{R G} \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$.
Remark 2.20. Straight from the definition, it is easy to see that if $H$ is a finite index subgroup of $G$ and $H_{i}^{G}\left(\tilde{X} ; \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)=0$, then $H_{i}^{H}\left(\widetilde{X} ;\left.\widehat{R H}^{\varphi}\right|_{H}\right)=0$ as well, since $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}=R G \otimes_{R H} \widehat{R H}^{\left.\varphi\right|_{H}}$.

The following result has an essentially identical proof as [Fis21, Theorem 5.3] (see also [FGS10, Proposition 5], [Bie07, Appendix], [Kie20, Theorem 3.11], and [Sik87]).

Theorem 2.21 (Sikorav's Theorem for spaces). Let $X$ be a connected $C W$ complex with finite $n$-skeleton and $\pi_{1} X=G$. Let $\varphi \in S(G)$ and let $k \leqslant n$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $\varphi \in \Sigma^{k}(X ; R)$;
(2) $H_{i}^{G}\left(\widetilde{X} ; \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)=0$ for $i \leqslant k$.

Theorem 2.22 (Sikorav's Theorem for groups [Fis21, Theorem 5.3]). Let $G$ be a group of type $\mathrm{FP}_{n}(R)$. Let $\varphi \in S(G)$ and let $k \leqslant n$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $\varphi \in \Sigma^{k}(G ; R)$;
(2) $H_{i}\left(G ; \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)=0$ for $i \leqslant k$.

## 3. Passing vanishing of Novikov homology to a division-closed SUBRING

In this section we will show that vanishing of Novikov homology up to a given degree can be determined by computing homology with coefficients being the divison closure of $R G$ in $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$. First, we show that the division and rational closures are equal.

Proposition 3.1. Let $R$ be a ring and let $G$ be a finitely generated group. If $\varphi \in S(G)$, then $\mathcal{D}\left(R G \subset \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(R G \subset \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}\left(R G \subset \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}\left(R G \subset \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)$; clearly, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. Consider a square matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}(\mathcal{D})$ that is invertible over $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$. Let $B \in \mathbf{M}_{n}\left(\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)$ be such that $A B=I$ where $I$ is the identity matrix. We need to show that $A$ is invertible over $\mathcal{D}$, which will show that $\mathcal{D}$ is rationally closed, and hence that $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{R}$.

Since $A$ is in particular a finite matrix over $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that all entries of $A$ are supported on $\phi^{-1}((-r, \infty))$. We truncate the entries of $B$ at $r$, and obtain a matrix $\bar{B} \in \mathbf{M}_{n}(R G)$. Now, $A \bar{B}=I-P$ where the elements of the supports of the entries of $P$ are all positive with respect to $\varphi$. Indeed, let $Q=B-\bar{B}$. Then we have

$$
I=A B=A(Q+\bar{B})=A Q+A \bar{B}
$$

In particular, $A \bar{B}=I-A Q=I-P$. Moreover, since $A \bar{B} \in \mathbf{M}_{n}(\mathcal{D})$ we have $P \in \mathbf{M}_{n}(\mathcal{D})$.

Claim 3.2. $I-P$ is invertible over $\mathcal{D}$.
Proof of Claim 3.2. The first diagonal entry of $I-P$ is of the form $1-u \in \mathcal{D}$, where every element in the support of $u$ has positive value under $\varphi$. In particular, $1-u$ is invertible in $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$, and hence in $\mathcal{D}$. It follows that we may multiply $I-P$ with a diagonal matrix $D$ over $\mathcal{D}$, that is invertible over $\mathcal{D}$, to obtain $I-P^{\prime}$ such that the first diagonal entry of $P^{\prime}$ is 0 and the remaining entries are either zero or have strictly positive support with respect to $\varphi$, in the same sense as $P$ did.

The entries of $I-P^{\prime}$ all lie in $\mathcal{D}$. We may now use elementary matrices over $\mathcal{D}$ to clear the non-diagonal entries in the first row and column of $I-P^{\prime}$. Repeating the process finitely many times for each diagonal entry of $I-P$ constructs a matrix $(I-P)^{-1} \in \mathbf{M}_{n}(\mathcal{D})$.

To finish the proof we observe that $A \bar{B}(I-P)^{-1}=I$ and that $\bar{B}(I-P)^{-1} \in$ $\mathbf{M}_{n}(\mathcal{D})$ since $\bar{B} \in \mathbf{M}_{n}(R G)$ and $(I-P)^{-1} \in \mathbf{M}_{n}(\mathcal{D})$ by Claim 3.2.

Proposition 3.3. Let $R$ be a ring, let $G$ be a finitely generated group, and let $\varphi \in S(G)$. Let

$$
C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} C_{n-1}
$$

be a chain complex of based free $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$-modules with $C_{n}$ and $C_{n-1}$ finitely generated and $\partial_{i}$ defined over $\mathcal{D}:=\mathcal{D}\left(R G \subset \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)$. Suppose that we are given $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$-module homomorphisms $H_{n-1}: C_{n-1} \rightarrow C_{n}$ defined over $\mathcal{D}$ and $H_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ defined over $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$ satisfying $H_{n-1} \partial_{n}+\partial_{n+1} H_{n}=\operatorname{id}_{C_{n}}$. Then, we may take $H_{n}$ to be defined over $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. We have the following diagram (which does not in general commute since the vertical map is equal to the sum of the two diagonal composites
with codomain $C_{n}$ ):

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} C_{n-1} \\
C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{H_{n}} C_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}}{ }^{\text {Lid }}{ }_{C_{n}} H_{n-1} \\
\partial_{n-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have

$$
\partial_{n}=\partial_{n}\left(\partial_{n+1} H_{n}+H_{n-1} \partial_{n}\right)=\partial_{n} H_{n-1} \partial_{n}
$$

and similarly $\partial_{n+1}=\partial_{n+1} H_{n} \partial_{n+1}$. Using these two equalities we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n-1} \partial_{n}+\partial_{n+1} H_{n} & =\operatorname{id}_{C_{n}} \\
& =\operatorname{id}_{C_{n}} \circ \operatorname{id}_{C_{n}} \\
& =\left(H_{n-1} \partial_{n}+\partial_{n+1} H_{n}\right)^{2} \\
& =H_{n-1} \partial_{n}+\partial_{n+1} H_{n}+\partial_{n+1} H_{n} H_{n-1} \partial_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\partial_{n+1} H_{n} H_{n-1} \partial_{n}=0$.
Since the modules are based, $H_{n}$ is naturally a matrix over $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$ with potentially infinitely many rows, finitely many columns, and finitely many nonzero entries. We will truncate the entries to obtain a matrix $\bar{H}_{n}$ over $R G$, and then construct a matrix $A$ such that $\partial_{n+1} \bar{H}_{n} A+H_{n-1} \partial_{n}=\mathrm{id}_{C_{n}}$ and $\bar{H}_{n} A$ is defined over

$$
\mathcal{R}:=\mathcal{R}\left(R G \subset \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)
$$

From here we may apply Proposition 3.1. Of course nothing in life is that straight-forward so we spell out the details.

Claim 3.4. We have $C_{n}=\operatorname{ker} \partial_{n} \oplus \operatorname{im} H_{n-1} \partial_{n}$.
Proof of $C l a i m$ 3.4. Consider $w \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n} \cap \operatorname{im} H_{n-1} \partial_{n}$. We have

$$
w=\left(H_{n-1} \partial_{n}+\partial_{n+1} H_{n}\right) w=\partial_{n+1} H_{n} w=0
$$

where the second equality follows from $\partial_{n} w=0$, and the third from

$$
\partial_{n+1} H_{n} H_{n-1} \partial_{n}=0
$$

and $w \in \operatorname{im} H_{n-1} \partial_{n}$. This shows that the sum in the claim is direct.
Note that by the equation $\operatorname{id}_{C_{n}}=\partial_{n+1} H_{n}+H_{n-1} \partial_{n}$, it suffices to argue that $\operatorname{im} \partial_{n+1} H_{n}=\operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}$. We have $\operatorname{im} \partial_{n+1} H_{n} \leqslant \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}$. For the other inclusion let $v \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}$. Then,

$$
v=\partial_{n+1} H_{n} v+H_{n-1} \partial_{n} v=\partial_{n+1} H_{n} v
$$

which completes the proof of the claim.
Note that $H_{n}$, when viewed as a matrix, has finitely many columns, and only finitely many non-zero entries (even though the number of rows might be infinite). We truncate the entries of $H_{n}$ at a very large real number $r$ with respect to $\varphi$ to obtain a matrix $\bar{H}_{n}$ over $R G$. We set

$$
P=\partial_{n+1}\left(H_{n}-\bar{H}_{n}\right)
$$

By choosing $r$ to be sufficiently large, we arrange for the minimum of the support of every entry of $P$ with respect to $\varphi$ to be strictly greater than 0 .

Claim 3.5. We have $P\left(C_{n}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}$.

Proof of Claim 3.5. First, suppose that $v \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}$. Then,

$$
v=H_{n-1} \partial_{n} v+\partial_{n+1} H_{n} v=\partial_{n+1} H_{n} v=P v+\partial_{n+1} \bar{H}_{n} v .
$$

So, $P v=v-\partial_{n+1} \bar{H}_{n} v$ where $\partial_{n+1} \bar{H}_{n} v \in \operatorname{im} \partial_{n+1} \leqslant \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}$. In particular, $P v \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}$.

Now, suppose that $v \in \operatorname{im} H_{n-1} \partial_{n}$. Then,

$$
P v=\partial_{n+1} H_{n} v-\partial_{n+1} \bar{H}_{n} v=-\partial_{n+1} \bar{H}_{n} v
$$

which is contained in $\operatorname{im} \partial_{n+1} \leqslant \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}$. We are now done by applying the direct sum decomposition of Claim 3.4.

Write $I:=\operatorname{id}_{C_{n}}$. The map $I-P: C_{n} \rightarrow C_{n}$ is invertible over $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$ with inverse $(I-P)^{-1^{n}}=I+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P^{i}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n-1} \partial_{n}(I-P)^{-1}=H_{n-1} \partial_{n}, \tag{3.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $H_{n-1} \partial_{n} P^{i}=0$ for $i \geqslant 1$ by Claim 3.5. We have

$$
I-P=\partial_{n+1} \bar{H}_{n}+H_{n-1} \partial_{n},
$$

the right hand side of which is defined over $\mathcal{D}$. We apply Proposition 3.1 to see that $I-P$ is invertible over $\mathcal{D}$. Finally,

$$
I=\left(\partial_{n+1} \bar{H}_{n}+H_{n-1} \partial_{n}\right)(I-P)^{-1}=\partial_{n+1} \bar{H}_{n}(I-P)^{-1}+H_{n-1} \partial_{n},
$$

where the second equality uses (3.5a). Thus, we may take our new $H_{n}$ to be $\bar{H}_{n}(I-P)^{-1}$ which is defined over $\mathcal{D}$.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.
Proposition 3.6. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and let $\varphi \in S(G)$. Let $C$. be a chain complex of free $R G$-modules finitely generated up to dimension $n$, with $C_{k}=0$ for $k<0$. Then,

$$
H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)=0 \text { for } j \leqslant n
$$

if and only if

$$
H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \mathcal{D}\left(R G \subset \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)\right)=0 \text { for } j \leqslant n .
$$

Proof. First, suppose that $H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)=0$ for $j \leqslant n$. Let

$$
H_{i}: C_{i} \otimes_{R G} \widehat{R G}^{\varphi} \rightarrow C_{i+1} \otimes_{R G} \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}
$$

be a chain homotopy over $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$ between 0 and $\operatorname{id}_{C}$. for $i \leqslant n$.
Let $\mathcal{D}:=\mathcal{D}\left(R G \subset \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)$. We now inductively apply Proposition 3.3 to $H$ and parts of the resolution $C_{\bullet}$, with the base case clearly given by the chain complex $C_{0} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$, to obtain a chain homotopy between 0 and id $C_{\text {. }}$ defined over $\mathcal{D}$ for $i \leqslant n$.

Consider the chain complex $C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \mathcal{D}$. We have that

$$
C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \mathcal{D} \subset C \cdot \otimes_{R G} \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}
$$

and the larger chain complex admits a chain homotopy between 0 and id $C_{C_{n}}$ in degrees less than or equal to $n$ defined over $\mathcal{D}$. In particular, $H_{j}\left(C \cdot \otimes_{R G} \mathcal{D}\right)=$ 0 for $j \leqslant n$.

The converse follows easily by arguing with chain contractions.

## 4. Approximate Ore condition

Throughout this section, $G$ denotes a group endowed with an epimorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, with $K=\operatorname{ker} \phi$ and $t \in G$ such that $\phi(t)$ generates $\operatorname{im} \phi$. Various polynomials and power series with $t$ are always twisted, and the action of $t$ is always the conjugation action inside $\mathbb{Z} G, \mathcal{N} G$, and $\mathcal{U} G$, depending on context.
Definition 4.1. Given a non-zero $x=\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} t^{i} x_{i} \in \mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.$ with $x_{i} \in \mathcal{U} K$ for all $i$, and with $x_{k} \neq 0$, we define its initial term init $x$ to be $t^{k} x_{k}$. Note that $k$ might be negative. We will refer to $x_{k}$ as the pure part of init $x$, and to $k$ as the associated power. We also set init $0=0$, with pure part 0 and associated power 0 .

The nullity null $x$ is defined to be the $\mathcal{N} K$-dimension of the kernel of the pure part of init $x$.

The kernel of an affiliated operator in $\mathcal{U} K$ is always a closed subspace of $\ell^{2} K$, since the operator is closed, and hence it makes sense to talk about the $\mathcal{N} K$-dimension of the kernel.

The definitions in particular apply to Laurent polynomials in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and in $\mathcal{N} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$.

Definition 4.2. We say that a sequence $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.$ is admissible if the sequence of powers associated to init $q_{n}$ is bounded from below.

The sequence is asymptotically injective if it is admissible and

$$
\sum_{n} \text { null } q_{n}<\infty
$$

Remark 4.3. It is not hard to see that a term-wise product of asymptotically injective sequences is also asymptotically injective.

Lemma 4.4. For every $x \in \mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{ker} x \leqslant \operatorname{null} x
$$

Proof. The result is clear when $x=0$. Let us assume it is not.
Without loss of generality we may assume that the power associated to init $x$ is 0 . Since $\mathcal{U} K$ is the Ore localisation of $\mathcal{N} K$, there exist an injective operator $z \in \mathcal{N} K$ such that $z x \in \mathcal{N} G$ and $\operatorname{ker} z x=\operatorname{ker} x$. Let $V=\operatorname{ker} x$. The polar decomposition gives us a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{N} K$ such that $\operatorname{ker} v=\operatorname{ker} \operatorname{init} z x$ and $\operatorname{im} v=\overline{\operatorname{im} \text { init } z x}$. Taking $u=1-v$ we get a partial isometry $u$ with $\operatorname{ker} u=(\text { ker init } z x)^{\perp}$ and $\operatorname{im} u=(\text { iminit } z x)^{\perp}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{init}(u+z x)=u+\operatorname{init} z x
$$

is injective, and therefore $u+z x$ is injective by Theorem 2.3. This means that $\left.u\right|_{V}$ is injective as well, and hence $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} V \leqslant \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{im} u$. Now, the latter dimension is equal to the $\mathcal{N} G$-trace of $u u^{*}$. Since $u u^{*} \in \mathcal{N} K$, this is equal to the $\mathcal{N} K$-trace, and hence to $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} K} \operatorname{im} u=\operatorname{null} z x=\operatorname{null} x$.

Remark 4.5. The proof above also shows that if $x \in \mathcal{U} K$ then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{ker} x=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} K} \operatorname{ker} x$.
4.A. Approximate Ore condition. We now introduce the main technical tool of this section.

Proposition 4.6 (Approximate Ore condition). For every $q, q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and every $\epsilon>0$ there exist $r, r^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ such that null $r<$ null $q^{\prime}+\epsilon$ and null $r^{\prime}<\operatorname{null} q+$ null $q^{\prime}+\epsilon$, and

$$
q r=q^{\prime} r^{\prime}
$$

Proof. The proof is inspired by Tamari's argument [Tam57].
It is clear that we may assume that the powers associated to init $q$ and init $q^{\prime}$ are both 0 . Let $N$ denote the maximum of the degrees of $q$ and $q^{\prime}$. We write

$$
q=\sum_{i=0}^{N} t^{i} q_{i}, \quad q^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} t^{i} q_{i}^{\prime}
$$

with $q_{i}, q_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N} K$. For a natural number $k$, consider the right $\mathcal{N} K$-linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{k}: \mathcal{N} K^{2 k} & \rightarrow \mathcal{N} K^{k+N} \\
\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}\right) & \mapsto\left(\sum_{i+j=l} t^{-j}\left(q_{i} t^{j} x_{j}-q_{i}^{\prime} t^{j} y_{j}\right)\right)_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Secretly, we think of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}\right)$ as representing two twisted polynomials $r=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^{i} x_{i}$ and $r^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^{i} y_{i}$, and lying in ker $\lambda_{k}$ translates directly into $q r=q^{\prime} r^{\prime}$, since the right-hand side above collects the terms of $q r-q^{\prime} r^{\prime}$ according to the power of $t$.)

Let $d_{k}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} K} \operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}$, and note that $d_{k} \geqslant k-N$. We may embed $\mathcal{N} K^{2 k} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} K^{2+2 k}$ and $\mathcal{N} K^{k+N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} K^{1+k+N}$ by augmenting vectors with zeroes in initial positions. These embeddings form commutative squares with the maps $\lambda_{k}$ and $\lambda_{k+1}$. The image of $\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}$ under the first map will be denoted by $t \operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}$; it is a subspace of ker $\lambda_{k+1}$ of dimension $d_{k}$.

Let $p, p^{\prime}: \mathcal{N} K^{2 k} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} K$ denote the projections onto, respectively, the first and the second factor.

Claim 4.7. For some $k$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} K} p\left(\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}\right)>1-\operatorname{null} q^{\prime}-\epsilon .
$$

Proof of claim. Without loss of generality, we will assume that $\epsilon<1$.
Consider $\left.\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} p\right|_{\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}}$. We immediately see that $x_{0}=0$, and $q_{0}^{\prime} y_{0}=0$. Hence $p^{\prime}$ sends $\left.\operatorname{ker} p\right|_{\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}}$ to $\operatorname{ker} q_{0}^{\prime}$. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} K}\left(\left.\operatorname{ker} p\right|_{\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}}\right)-\operatorname{null} q^{\prime} \leqslant \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} K}\left(\left.\left.\operatorname{ker} p\right|_{\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}} \cap \operatorname{ker} p^{\prime}\right|_{\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}}\right)
$$

The intersection of the kernels on the right-hand side is precisely $t$ ker $\lambda_{k-1}$. Hence,

$$
d_{k}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} K} p\left(\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}\right)-\operatorname{null} q^{\prime} \leqslant d_{k-1}
$$

Rearranging, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} K} p\left(\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}\right) \geqslant d_{k}-d_{k-1}-\operatorname{null} q^{\prime}
$$

If the left-hand side is bounded above by $1-\operatorname{null} q^{\prime}-\epsilon$ for all $k$, then

$$
1-\epsilon \geqslant d_{k}-d_{k-1}
$$

and so adding such terms together gives

$$
(1-\epsilon) k \geqslant d_{k} \geqslant k-N
$$

for all $k$, which is a contradiction. We conclude that for some $k$ the $\mathcal{N} K$ dimension of $p\left(\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}\right)$ is greater than $1-\operatorname{null} q^{\prime}-\epsilon$, as claimed.

It follows that for $k$ as above, $p\left(\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}\right)$ is dense inside of $\pi_{V} \mathcal{N} K$ for some closed subspace $V \leqslant \ell^{2}(K)$ of $\mathcal{N} K$-dimension greater than $1-\operatorname{null} q^{\prime}-\epsilon$. Take $x \in \operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}$ such that $\pi_{V}-p(x)$ has norm less than 1 . Then

$$
V \cap \operatorname{ker} p(x)=\{0\},
$$

and so $\operatorname{ker} p(x)$ has dimension less than null $q^{\prime}+\epsilon$. Write

$$
x=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}\right) ;
$$

let $r=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^{i} x_{i}$ and $r^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^{i} y_{i}$. We have shown that $\operatorname{ker} p(x)=\operatorname{ker} x_{0}$ has dimension less than null $q^{\prime}+\epsilon$. Also, $x \in \operatorname{ker} \lambda_{k}$ means precisely that

$$
q r=q^{\prime} r^{\prime} .
$$

Finally, the last equality implies that $q_{0} \operatorname{init} r=q_{0}^{\prime} \operatorname{init} r^{\prime}$, and hence

$$
\operatorname{null} r^{\prime} \leqslant \operatorname{null} q+\operatorname{null} r<\operatorname{null} q+\operatorname{null} q^{\prime}+\epsilon
$$

Remark 4.8. Clearly, if $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ are Laurent polynomials over $\mathcal{U} K$, we may multiply them by a suitable injective operator in $\mathcal{N} K$ and obtain Laurent polynomials over $\mathcal{N} K$ with the same nullities. Hence the conclusion of the above statement holds verbatim if $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ lie in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$, and we will use it in this generality.

Corollary 4.9. Every two asymptotically injective sequences $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ over $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ admit an asymptotically injective common multiple, that is, an asymptotically injective sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ over $\mathcal{N} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ such that there exist two asymptotically injective sequences $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n}$ over $\mathcal{N} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ with $x_{n}=q_{n} y_{n}=q_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}$ for every $n$.
Proof. For every $n$ we obtain $x_{n}, y_{n}$, and $z_{n}$ with $x_{n}=q_{n} y_{n}=q_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}$ from Proposition 4.6, setting $\epsilon=2^{-n}$. This way

$$
\sum_{n} \operatorname{null} x_{n} \leqslant \sum_{n}\left(\operatorname{null} q_{n}+\operatorname{null} y_{n}\right) \leqslant \sum_{n}\left(\operatorname{null} q_{n}+\operatorname{null} q_{n}^{\prime}+2^{-n}\right)<\infty
$$

and similarly for $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n}$.
4.B. Asymptotic agreement. In this section we are dealing with sequences, but in reality we think of them as proxies, and we are really interested in their limits (which we will define later). Hence it is natural to introduce an equivalence relation on sequences.

Definition 4.10. We say that two sequences $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{U} G$ asymptotically agree as operators, written $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n} \approx\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$, if

$$
\sum_{n} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right)<\infty .
$$

A sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{U} G$ stabilises if $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n} \approx\left(x_{n+1}\right)_{n}$.

Note that if $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ are sequences in $\mathcal{U} K$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} K} \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)
$$

by Remark 4.5 , and hence such sequences asymptotically agree as sequences in $\mathcal{U} K$ if and only if they asymptotically agree as sequences in $\mathcal{U} G$. Therefore, there is no need to specify over which group we are working.

It is very easy to see that being in asymptotic agreement is an equivalence relation.

Lemma 4.11. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n},\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$, and $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n}$ be sequences in $\mathcal{U} G$. If $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n} \approx$ $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$, then all of the following hold:
(1) $\left(x_{n}+z_{n}\right)_{n} \approx\left(y_{n}+z_{n}\right)_{n}$,
(2) $\left(x_{n} z_{n}\right)_{n} \approx\left(y_{n} z_{n}\right)_{n}$,
(3) $\left(z_{n} x_{n}\right)_{n} \approx\left(z_{n} y_{n}\right)_{n}$.

Proof. This is immediate. For (2) and (3), it is enough to observe that the dimension of the kernel of a product of operators is bounded from below by the dimension of the kernel of either factor.

We now extend the relation $\approx$ to power series.
Definition 4.12. Two sequences $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ over $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.$ asymptotically agree as power series, written $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$, if for every fixed degree $d$, the sequence (over $\mathcal{U} K$ ) of coefficients of $x_{n}$ by $t^{d}$ and the sequence of coefficients of $y_{n}$ by $t^{d}$ asymptotically agree as operators.

A sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ over $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.$ is $K$-stabilising if $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(x_{n+1}\right)_{n}$.
For power series, we add $K$ as a subscript to $\approx$ due to the potential confusion for sequences of Laurent polynomials in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$. Such Laurent polynomials are at the same time elements of $\mathcal{U} G$, in which case the definition of $\approx$ applies, and Laurent power series, in which context we use $\approx_{K}$. It is clear that $\approx_{K}$ is again an equivalence relation.

We now collect basic arithmetic properties of the equivalence relation $\approx_{K}$.
Lemma 4.13. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n},\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$, and $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n}$ be sequences in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$. If $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$, then all of the following hold:
(1) $\left(x_{n}+z_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(y_{n}+z_{n}\right)_{n}$,
(2) $\left(x_{n} z_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(y_{n} z_{n}\right)_{n}$,
(3) $\left(z_{n} x_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(z_{n} y_{n}\right)_{n}$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.11.
4.C. Partial inverse. We are now approaching the main technical onslaught. We will introduce two constructions that play the role of inverses of elements in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$, one in $\mathcal{U} G$, and one in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.$. They will share many properties.

Recall that in $\mathcal{U} G$ we have the notion of a partial inverse $x \mapsto x^{\dagger}$. The partial inverse of an element $x \in \mathcal{U} K$ lies in $\mathcal{U} K$, and for such an $x$ we have $\left(t^{i} x\right)^{\dagger}=x^{\dagger} t^{-i}$.

Lemma 4.14. Let $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$, and let $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$ be asymptotically injective sequences in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$. All of the following hold:
(1) $\left(\left(q_{n} s_{n}\right)^{\dagger}\right)_{n} \approx\left(s_{n}^{\dagger} q_{n}^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$.
(2) $\left(s_{n} s_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)_{n} \approx(1)_{n}$.
(3) $\left(p_{n} q_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)_{n} \approx\left(p_{n} s_{n}\left(q_{n} s_{n}\right)^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$.

Proof. We prove the items in turn.
(1) We have

$$
\left(q_{n} s_{n}\right)\left(q_{n} s_{n}\right)^{\dagger}-q_{n} s_{n} s_{n}^{\dagger} q_{n}^{\dagger}=\pi_{\overline{\mathrm{im} q_{n} s_{n}}}-q_{n} \pi_{\overline{\mathrm{im} s_{n}}} q_{n}^{\dagger}
$$

The right-hand side is 0 on $\left(\operatorname{im} q_{n}\right)^{\perp}$, since both summands are 0 there, and on $q_{n}\left(\operatorname{im} s_{n} \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} q_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right)$, since the summands restrict to the identity there. Lemma 4.4 tells us that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{ker} s_{n} \leqslant \operatorname{null} s_{n}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(q_{n} s_{n}\right)\left(q_{n} s_{n}\right)^{\dagger}-q_{n} s_{n} s_{n}^{\dagger} q_{n}^{\dagger}\right) \geqslant 1-\operatorname{null} s_{n}
$$

Since, using the same argument as above,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N G}} \operatorname{ker} q_{n} s_{n} \leqslant \operatorname{null} q_{n}+\operatorname{null} s_{n},
$$

we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(q_{n} s_{n}\right)^{\dagger}-s_{n}^{\dagger} q_{n}^{\dagger}\right) \geqslant 1-2 \operatorname{null} s_{n}-\operatorname{null} q_{n}
$$

Thus,

$$
\sum_{n} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N G}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\left(q_{n} s_{n}\right)^{\dagger}-s_{n}^{\dagger}{ }_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)^{\perp}\right) \leqslant \sum_{n}\left(2 \operatorname{null} s_{n}+\operatorname{null} q_{n}\right)<\infty .
$$

(2) The operator

$$
1-s_{n} s_{n}^{\dagger}=1-\pi_{\overline{\mathrm{im}} s_{n}}
$$

has kernel of dimension at least $1-$ null $s_{n}$, and we finish the argument as above.
(3) This follows immediately from the two items above and Lemma 4.11(2) and (3).

Lemma 4.15. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ be sequences in $\mathcal{U} G$. If $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ asymptotically agree as operators, then so do the sequences of adjoints $\left(x_{n}{ }^{*}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(y_{n}{ }^{*}\right)_{n}$, and the sequences of partial inverses $\left(x_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(y_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$.

Proof. This is clear for adjoints, since $\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}{ }^{*}-y_{n}{ }^{*}\right)=\left(\operatorname{im}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right)^{\perp}$ has the same $\mathcal{N} G$-dimension as $\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)$.

For partial inverses, we need to introduce some notation. Let

$$
1-d_{n}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right),
$$

and note that $\sum_{n} d_{n}<\infty$.
The subspace $\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}{ }^{\dagger}-y_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)$ contains $\left(\operatorname{im} x_{n}\right)^{\perp} \cap\left(\operatorname{im} y_{n}\right)^{\perp}$, since this is the intersection of the kernels of $x_{n}{ }^{\dagger}$ and $y_{n}{ }^{\dagger}$, and it contains

$$
\overline{x_{n}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} x_{n}\right)^{\perp} \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} y_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right)},
$$

since this is the closure of a subspace on which $x_{n}{ }^{\dagger}$ and $y_{n}{ }^{\dagger}$ act as the identity - here, we are using the fact that the action of $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ are the same on $\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)$. Observe that $\left(\operatorname{im} x_{n}\right)^{\perp} \cap\left(\operatorname{im} y_{n}\right)^{\perp}$ and

$$
\overline{x_{n}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} x_{n}\right)^{\perp} \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} y_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right)}
$$

are perpendicular. We will now bound the dimensions of these two spaces from below.

We have

$$
\operatorname{im} x_{n} \geqslant x_{n}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right)=y_{n}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right) \leqslant \operatorname{im} y_{n} .
$$

Moreover, the definition of $d_{n}$ tells us that the $\mathcal{N} G$-dimension of the perpendicular complement of $x_{n}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right)$ in $\overline{\operatorname{im} x_{n}}$ is bounded above by $d_{n}$, and so is the complement in $\overline{\operatorname{im} y_{n}}$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G}\left(\left(\operatorname{im} x_{n}\right)^{\perp} \cap\left(\operatorname{im} y_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right) & \geqslant \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G}\left(x_{n}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{\perp}-2 d_{n} \\
& \geqslant \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G}\left(\operatorname{im} x_{n}\right)^{\perp}-3 d_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will now focus on $x_{n}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} x_{n}\right)^{\perp} \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} y_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right)$. We have

$$
\left(\operatorname{ker} x_{n}\right)^{\perp} \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} y_{n}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{im} x_{n}{ }^{*} \cap \operatorname{im} y_{n}{ }^{*} \geqslant x_{n}{ }^{*}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}{ }^{*}-y_{n}{ }^{*}\right)\right) .
$$

The $\mathcal{N} G$-codimension of this last subspace in im $x_{n}{ }^{*}=\left(\operatorname{ker} x_{n}\right)^{\perp}$ is bounded from above by $d_{n}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N G}} \overline{x_{n}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} x_{n}\right)^{\perp} \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} y_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right)} \\
& \geqslant \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N G}} \overline{x_{n}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} x_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right)}-d_{n} \\
& \geqslant \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N G}} \overline{x_{n}\left(\left(\operatorname{ker} x_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right)}-2 d_{n} \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N G}} \overline{\operatorname{im} x_{n}}-2 d_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the last two inequalities gives $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}{ }^{\dagger}-y_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right) \geqslant 1-5 d_{n}$, and hence $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n}^{\dagger}-y_{n}^{\dagger}\right)^{\perp} \leqslant 5 d_{n}$, and the result follows.

In particular, if $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ stabilises, then so do $\left(x_{n}{ }^{*}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(x_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$.
We will now introduce the first limit - it will later allow us to view (equivalence classes of) our sequences as elements of $\mathcal{U} G$.
Lemma 4.16. For every stabilising sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ over $\mathcal{U} G$, there exists a unique element $x_{\infty} \in \mathcal{U} G$ such that $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(x_{\infty}\right)_{n}$ asymptotically agree.
Proof. Let $V_{n}=\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)$. By assumption, $\sum_{n}\left(1-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} V_{n}\right)<\infty$. Let $U_{n}=\overline{\sum_{m \geqslant n} V_{m}{ }^{\perp}}$. The subspaces $U_{n}$ are closed and $G$-invariant, and form a nested sequence with $\lim _{n} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{N} G} U_{n}=0$. Therefore $\bigcap_{n} U_{n}=\{0\}$.

Observe that the affiliated operators $x_{m}$ with $m \geqslant n$ all agree on $L_{n}$, where $L_{n}$ is defined to be $U_{n}{ }^{\perp}$ intersected with all of their domains. In fact, $L_{n}$ is equal to $U_{n}{ }^{\perp}$ intersected with the domain of $x_{n}$, since the domains are essentially dense and therefore their intersections with $U_{n}{ }^{\perp}$ are dense therein, and since the operators are closed. Now, the subspaces $L_{n}$ are $G$ invariant, form an ascending chain, and $\bar{L}=\ell^{2} G$ with $L=\bigcup L_{n}$. We define $x_{\infty}^{\prime}: L \rightarrow \ell^{2} G$ by $\left.x_{\infty}^{\prime}\right|_{L_{n}}=\left.x_{n}\right|_{L_{n}}$. It is clear that $x_{\infty}^{\prime}$ is densely defined and $G$-equivariant.

We now apply the same procedure to the stabilising sequence $\left(x_{n}{ }^{*}\right)_{n}$, and obtain a densely defined $G$-invariant operator $x_{\infty}^{*}$. It is easy to see that $x_{\infty}^{*}$ is the adjoint of $x_{\infty}^{\prime}$. Since $x_{\infty}^{\prime}$ is densely defined, the adjoint $x_{\infty}=\left(x_{\infty}^{*}\right)^{*}$ is defined on a superspace of $L$, and on $L$ agrees with $x_{\infty}^{\prime}$. Moreover, since $x_{\infty}^{*}$ is densely defined, $x_{\infty}$ is closed. Hence $x_{\infty}$ is the desired affiliated operator.

To prove uniqueness, suppose that we have another affiliated operator $y_{\infty}$ such that $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(y_{\infty}\right)_{n}$ asymptotically agree. Then the sequences $\left(x_{\infty}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(y_{\infty}\right)_{n}$ asymptotically agree, forcing $\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{\infty}-y_{\infty}\right)=\ell^{2} G$. This means that $x_{\infty}=y_{\infty}$ as affiliated operators.

We will refer to the element $x_{\infty}$ as the limit of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$. The map $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n} \mapsto x_{\infty}$ will be denoted by $\lambda_{\mathcal{U} G}$. Note that if $x_{n} \in \mathcal{U} K$ for every $n$, then $x_{\infty} \in \mathcal{U} K$ as well.
4.D. Expansion. We are now ready to construct the second function that will serve as an inverse, this time in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$.

Definition 4.17 (Expansion). We define the expansion map

$$
\mathcal{U K} K t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.
$$

by

$$
q \mapsto \bar{q}=(\operatorname{init} q)^{\dagger} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left((\operatorname{init} q-q)(\operatorname{init} q)^{\dagger}\right)^{k} .
$$

In the unlikely event of the reader not recognising the construction immediately, it is instructive to consider the case in which init $q$ is invertible in $\mathcal{U} K$.

Lemma 4.18. For $q \in \mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.$, suppose that init $q$ is invertible in $\mathcal{U} K$. Then $\bar{q}$ is precisely the inverse of $q$ in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$.

Proof. Observe that (init $q)^{\dagger}$ is the inverse of init $q$. Let $r=q(\text { init } q)^{\dagger}$, and observe that we have $r=1+\sum_{i>0} t^{i} r_{i}$ with $r_{i} \in \mathcal{U} K$. Hence

$$
\bar{r}=\sum_{k \geqslant 0}(1-r)^{k}
$$

is the inverse of $r$. Thus, (init $q)^{\dagger} \sum_{k \geqslant 0}(1-r)^{k}$ is the inverse of $q$. But

$$
(\operatorname{init} q)^{\dagger} \sum_{k \geqslant 0}(1-r)^{k}=(\operatorname{init} q)^{\dagger} \sum_{k \geqslant 0}\left(\operatorname{init} q(\operatorname{init} q)^{\dagger}-q(\operatorname{init} q)^{\dagger}\right)^{k}=\bar{q} .
$$

Lemma 4.19. Let $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ be asymptotically injective sequences over $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$. All of the following hold:
(1) If $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ then $\left(\overline{q_{n}}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(\overline{r_{n}}\right)_{n}$;
(2) $\left(\overline{q_{n}}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$;
(3) $\left(q_{n} \overline{q_{n}}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(\overline{q_{n}} q_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}(1)_{n}$;
(4) $\left(\overline{q_{n} r_{n}}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(\overline{r_{n}} \cdot \overline{q_{n}}\right)_{n}$.

Proof. It is easy to see what happens when we multiply the terms of our sequences by powers of $t$, and hence we will assume that init $q_{n}$ and init $r_{n}$ lie in $\mathcal{U K}$ for all $n$.
(1) For every fixed $d$, the terms of $\overline{q_{n}}$ and $\overline{r_{n}}$ appearing next to $t^{d}$ are obtained from finitely many corresponding terms in $q_{n}$ and $r_{n}$ via the same arithmetic operation. We now apply Lemma 4.11 for every degree $d$ separately.
(2) Recall that the polar decomposition gives us partial isometries $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}$ in $\mathcal{N} K$ mapping ker init $q_{n}$ onto (iminit $\left.q_{n}\right)^{\perp}$ and kerinit $r_{n}$ onto (im init $\left.r_{n}\right)^{\perp}$ and being trivial on $\left(\operatorname{ker} \text { init } q_{n}\right)^{\perp}$ and $\left(\operatorname{ker} \operatorname{init} r_{n}\right)^{\perp}$, respectively. The operators init $q_{n}+u_{n}$ and init $r_{n}+v_{n}$ are then invertible in $\mathcal{U} K$. Crucially, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}(0)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$, since $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(r_{n}\right)$ are asymptotically injective. Lemma 4.13 tells us that

$$
\left(q_{n}+u_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(q_{n}\right)_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(r_{n}+v_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}
$$

Now, Lemma 4.18 yields $\overline{\overline{q_{n}+u_{n}}}=q_{n}+u_{n}$ for all $n$, and combining this with the previous item finishes this part of the proof.
(3) By Lemma 4.18, for all $n$ we have

$$
\left(q_{n}+u_{n}\right) \overline{\left(q_{n}+u_{n}\right)}=\overline{\left(q_{n}+u_{n}\right)}\left(q_{n}+u_{n}\right)=1 .
$$

We are now done thanks to the first item and Lemma 4.13.
(4) Finally, by Lemma 4.18 and uniqueness of inverses we have

$$
\overline{\left(q_{n}+u_{n}\right)\left(r_{n}+v_{n}\right)}=\overline{r_{n}+v_{n}} \cdot \overline{q_{n}+u_{n}} .
$$

By Lemma 4.13, $\left(q_{n} r_{n}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(q_{n}+u_{n}\right)\left(r_{n}+v_{n}\right)_{n}$, and we finish the proof using the first item.

## 4.E. Weakly rational elements.

Definition 4.20. Let WRat $_{0}(K, t)$ be the set of sequences $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}$ such that all of the following hold:

- $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n}$ is an admissible sequence in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$,
- $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$ is an asymptotically injective sequence in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$,
- the sequence $\left(p_{n}\left(q_{n}\right)^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$ stabilises,
- the sequence $\left(p_{n} \overline{q_{n}}\right)_{n} K$-stabilises.

We let $\sim$ be a relation on $\operatorname{WRat}_{0}(K, t)$ given by $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \sim\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ if there exist asymptotically injective sequences $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ such that

$$
p_{n} r_{n}=p_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}, \quad q_{n} r_{n}=q_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}
$$

for all $n$.
Lemma 4.21. The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation.
Proof. Reflexivity is clear by taking $r_{n}=1=s_{n}$ for every $n$. Symmetry is also clear by exchanging the sequences $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$. Transitivity follows from Corollary 4.9. Here are the details.

Consider three sequences $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n},\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(p_{n}^{\prime \prime}, q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}$ in $\operatorname{WRat}_{0}(K, t)$, and suppose that $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \sim\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n} \sim\left(p_{n}^{\prime \prime}, q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}$. The definition gives us asymptotically injective sequences $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n},\left(s_{n}\right)_{n},\left(r_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$, and $\left(s_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{n} r_{n}=p_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}, & q_{n} r_{n}=q_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}, \\
p_{n}^{\prime} r_{n}^{\prime}=p_{n}^{\prime \prime} s_{n}^{\prime}, & q_{n}^{\prime} r_{n}^{\prime}=q_{n}^{\prime \prime} s_{n}^{\prime},
\end{array}
$$

for all $n$. By Corollary 4.9, there exists an asymptotically injective right common multiple $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ of $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(r_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$. Let $y_{n}$ and $z_{n}$ be such that $x_{n}=s_{n} y_{n}=r_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}$. Set $r_{n}^{\prime \prime}=r_{n} y_{n}$ and $s_{n}^{\prime \prime}=s_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}$, and note that $\left(r_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}$ is asymptotically injective. Then

$$
p_{n} r_{n}^{\prime \prime}=p_{n} r_{n} y_{n}=p_{n}^{\prime} s_{n} y_{n}=p_{n}^{\prime} x_{n}=p_{n}^{\prime} r_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}=p_{n}^{\prime \prime} s_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}=p_{n}^{\prime \prime} s_{n}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Let $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ denote the set of equivalence classes in $\operatorname{WRat}_{0}(K, t)$ under this equivalence relation. We will abuse notation by not differentiating between the elements of $\mathrm{WRat}_{0}(K, t)$ and the equivalence classes they lie in. The elements of $\mathrm{WRat}(K, t)$ will be called weakly rational sequences.

Lemma 4.22. For every $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \in \operatorname{WRat}_{0}(K, t)$ and every asymptotically injective sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$, the sequence $\left(p_{n} x_{n}, q_{n} x_{n}\right)_{n}$ lies in $\mathrm{WRat}_{0}(K, t)$ in the same equivalence class as $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}$.

Proof. The only conditions that are non-trivial to check are the stability of $\left(p_{n} x_{n}\left(q_{n} x_{n}\right)^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(p_{n} x_{n} \overline{q_{n} x_{n}}\right)_{n}$. For the first sequence, this follows immediately from Lemma 4.14(3); for the second, we use Lemmata 4.13 and 4.19.

Proposition 4.23. The map $\iota: p \mapsto(p, 1)_{n}$ embeds $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ into $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$, and $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ admits a ring structure making this embedding into a ring homomorphism.

Proof. We will break the proof into three parts.
Embedding. We start with the first claim. Suppose that $(p, 1)_{n} \sim\left(p^{\prime}, 1\right)_{n}$. The definition gives us an asymptotically injective sequence $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ with $p r_{n}=$ $p^{\prime} r_{n}$. Let $x=\operatorname{init}\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)$. We then have

$$
x \text { init } r_{n}=0
$$

for all $n$. Since $\lim _{n}$ null $r_{n}=0$, the images of the operators init $r_{n}$ have closures with dimensions tending to 1 . Hence $x=0$, and so $p=p^{\prime}$.

Ring structure: addition. Now we need to define the ring structure. We start with addition. Let $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ in $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ be given. We are first going to bring them to a common denominator: Corollary 4.9 gives us asymptotically injective sequences $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n},\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$, and $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n}$ with $x_{n}=q_{n} y_{n}=$ $q_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}$ for every $n$. Lemma 4.22 tells us that $\left(p_{n} y_{n}, x_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}, x_{n}\right)_{n}$ lie in $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$; we define their sum to be $\left(p_{n} y_{n}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}, x_{n}\right)_{n}$. We now need to check that this sequence lies in $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$, and that the sum is independent of the choices of representatives.

To check that $\left(p_{n} y_{n}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}, x_{n}\right)_{n}$ lies in $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$, we need to verify the stability conditions of the definition. This is easy, since

$$
\left(p_{n} y_{n}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}\right) x_{n}^{\dagger}=p_{n} y_{n} x_{n}^{\dagger}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n} x_{n}^{\dagger}
$$

and

$$
\left(p_{n} y_{n}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}\right) \overline{x_{n}}=p_{n} y_{n} \overline{x_{n}}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n} \overline{x_{n}}
$$

Now suppose that we have picked different sequences $\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n},\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$, and $\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ with properties analogous to the ones of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n},\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$, and $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n}$. Thanks to Corollary 4.9 and Lemma 4.22 we may assume that $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}=\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$, which in particular implies that $q_{n} y_{n}=q_{n} y_{n}^{\prime}$ and $q_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}=q_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}^{\prime}$. We now need to show that $\left(p_{n} y_{n}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}, x_{n}\right)_{n} \sim\left(p_{n} y_{n}^{\prime}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)_{n}$. Proposition 4.6 and Remark 4.8 give us sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{N} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ such that for all $n$

$$
\left(y_{n}-y_{n}^{\prime}\right) a_{n}=\pi_{\left(\text {ker init } q_{n}\right)^{\perp}} b_{n}
$$

and such that $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n}$ is asymptotically injective. We claim that

$$
\pi_{\left(\text {ker init } q_{n}\right)^{\perp}} b_{n}=0 .
$$

We have

$$
0=0 \cdot a_{n}=q_{n}\left(y_{n}-y_{n}^{\prime}\right) a_{n}=q_{n} \pi_{\left(\text {ker init } q_{n}\right)^{\perp}} b_{n} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\operatorname{init} q_{n} \operatorname{init}\left(\pi_{\left(\operatorname{ker} \operatorname{init} q_{n}\right)^{\perp}} b_{n}\right)=0
$$

But init $\left(\pi_{\left(\operatorname{ker} \operatorname{init} q_{n}\right)^{\perp}} b_{n}\right)$ is of the form $\pi_{\left(\operatorname{ker~init} q_{n}\right)}{ }^{\perp} t^{j}$ for some $j$ and $c \in \mathcal{N} K$, and init $q_{n}$ is clearly injective on (ker init $\left.q_{n}\right)^{\perp}$. Hence $\pi_{\left(\text {ker init } q_{n}\right)^{\perp}} c t^{j}=0$ and the claim follows. We deduce that $\left(y_{n}-y_{n}^{\prime}\right) a_{n}=0$. We then have

$$
\left(p_{n} y_{n}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}, x_{n}\right)_{n} \sim\left(p_{n} y_{n} a_{n}+p_{n}^{\prime} z_{n} a_{n}, x_{n} a_{n}\right)_{n}
$$

and $p_{n} y_{n} a_{n}=p_{n} y_{n}^{\prime} a_{n}$. We repeat the argument for $z_{n} a_{n}$ and $z_{n}^{\prime} a_{n}$. This shows that addition is well defined.

It is clear that addition has a neutral element $(0,1)_{n}$, and that

$$
\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}+\left(-p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}=\left(0, q_{n}\right)_{n} \sim(0,1)_{n}
$$

Ring structure: multiplication. We are left with defining multiplication. Let $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ be given elements of $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$. Using Proposition 4.6, we get an asymptotically injective sequence $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$ and a sequence $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ such that

$$
p_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}=q_{n} r_{n}
$$

for every $n$. We define $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \cdot\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}=\left(p_{n} r_{n}, q_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}\right)$. We first need to check that the output is an element of $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$. By Lemma 4.14(1), $\left(\left(q_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}\right)^{\dagger}\right)_{n} \approx\left(s_{n}^{\dagger} q_{n}^{\prime \dagger}\right)_{n}$; by (2), $\left(q_{n}^{\dagger} q_{n}\right)_{n} \approx\left(s_{n}^{\dagger} s_{n}\right)_{n} \approx(1)_{n}$. We therefore have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p_{n} r_{n}\left(q_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}\right)^{\dagger}\right)_{n} & \approx\left(p_{n}{q_{n}}^{\dagger} q_{n} r_{n} s_{n}^{\dagger} q_{n}^{\prime \dagger}\right)_{n} \\
& =\left(p_{n} q_{n}^{\dagger} p_{n}^{\prime} s_{n} s_{n}^{\dagger} q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n} \\
& \approx\left(p_{n} q_{n}^{\dagger} p_{n}^{\prime} q_{n}^{\prime \dagger}\right)_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(p_{n} q_{n}^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(p_{n}^{\prime} q_{n}^{\prime \dagger}\right)_{n}$ stabilise, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p_{n} q_{n}^{\dagger} p_{n}^{\prime} q_{n}^{\prime \dagger}\right)_{n} & \approx\left(p_{n} q_{n}^{\dagger} p_{n+1}^{\prime} q_{n+1}^{\prime}\right)_{n} \\
& \approx\left(p_{n+1} q_{n+1}^{\dagger} p_{n+1}^{\prime} q_{n+1}^{\prime}\right)_{n} \\
& \approx\left(p_{n+1} r_{n+1}\left(q_{n+1}^{\prime} s_{n+1}\right)^{\dagger}\right)_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The argument for

$$
\left(p_{n} r_{n} \overline{q_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}}\right)_{n} \approx_{K}\left(p_{n+1} r_{n+1} \overline{q_{n+1}^{\prime} s_{n+1}}\right)_{n}
$$

is analogous.
The next step is to check that our multiplication is well defined. There are three parts to this. First, for fixed sequences $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$, we could have chosen different sequences $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$. By Corollary 4.9, it is enough to check what happens if we multiply $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ on the right by the same asymptotically injective sequence. But then it is clear that the output of our multiplication is in the same $\sim$-equivalence class. Second, we could have used different sequences to represent the equivalence class of $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}$. Again, it is enough to check what happens if we multiply $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$ by the same asymptotically injective sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$. Using

Proposition 4.6, we get an asymptotically injective sequence $\left(s_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ and a sequence $\left(r_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ such that

$$
p_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}^{\prime}=q_{n} x_{n} r_{n}^{\prime} .
$$

This is the same as choosing $\left(x_{n} r_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(s_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ instead of $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$, and we already know that such a change does not affect the final output. The last case, in which we multiply $\left(p_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ by the same asymptotically injective sequence, is analogous.

Finally, $(1,1)_{n}$ is obviously a neutral element of the multiplication. We are left with distributivity. Consider three elements $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n},\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$, and $\left(p_{n}^{\prime \prime}, q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}$ of $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$. We need to verify that
$\left(\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}+\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}\right) \cdot\left(p_{n}^{\prime \prime}, q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}=\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \cdot\left(p_{n}^{\prime \prime}, q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}+\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n} \cdot\left(p_{n}^{\prime \prime}, q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}$.
We have already checked that we may move freely within the equivalence classes, and so we may assume that the first two sequences are already brought to common denominators, that is, $q_{n}=q_{n}^{\prime}$ for every $n$. Now let $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence, and $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$ an asympotically injective sequence, such that $p_{n}^{\prime \prime} s_{n}=q_{n} r_{n}$. Then the left-hand side of our equation becomes

$$
\left(\left(p_{n}+p_{n}^{\prime}\right) r_{n}, q_{n}^{\prime \prime} s_{n}\right)_{n}
$$

and the right-hand side becomes

$$
\left(p_{n} r_{n}, q_{n}^{\prime \prime} s_{n}\right)_{n}+\left(p_{n}^{\prime} r_{n}, q_{n}^{\prime \prime} s_{n}\right)_{n} .
$$

These two expressions yield equal elements in $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$.
As we have verified all of the claims, the proof is complete.
Henceforth, we will always endow $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ with the above ring structure.

Recall that if we are given a stabilising sequence in $\mathcal{U} G$, we have the limit map $\lambda_{\mathcal{U} G}$ described in Lemma 4.16 returning a single element in $\mathcal{U} G$. Similarly, given an admissible $K$-stabilising sequence in $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$, we may apply the limit map over $\mathcal{U} K$ in every degree separately, and obtain a map $\lambda_{\mathcal{U} K\left[ \pm^{ \pm 1}\right]}$ returning an element of $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$.
Proposition 4.24. The map $\Lambda_{\mathcal{U} G}: \operatorname{WRat}(K, t) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} G$ obtained by composing

$$
\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \mapsto\left(p_{n} q_{n}^{\dagger}\right)_{n}
$$

with $\lambda_{\mathcal{U G}}$ is an injective ring homomorphism.
Similarly, the map $\Lambda_{\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.}: \operatorname{WRat}(K, t) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.$ obtained by composing

$$
\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \mapsto\left(p_{n} \overline{\bar{q}_{n}}\right)_{n}
$$

with $\lambda_{\mathcal{U K}\left[ \pm^{ \pm 1}\right]}$ is an injective ring homomorphism.
Proof. Let us start with $\Lambda_{\mathcal{U} G}$. Take $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \sim\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$. By Lemma 4.14, we have $\left(p_{n} q_{n}^{\dagger}\right)_{n} \approx\left(p_{n}^{\prime} q_{n}^{\prime \dagger}\right)_{n}$, and so $\lambda_{\mathcal{U} G}$ sends both to the same element of $\mathcal{U} G$ by Lemma 4.16. Hence $\Lambda_{\mathcal{U} G}$ is well defined.

To check that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{U} G}$ is additive and multiplicative, we use Lemma 4.11 and the uniqueness part of Lemma 4.16. Thus, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{U} G}$ is a ring homomorphism.

Finally, suppose that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{U} G}\left(\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}\right)=0$. Unravelling the definitions, we get $\left(p_{n} q_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)_{n} \approx(0)_{n}$. Since $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$ is asymptotically injective, Lemmata 4.11 and 4.14 give

$$
(0)_{n} \approx\left(0 \cdot q_{n}\right)_{n} \approx\left(p_{n} q_{n}^{\dagger} q_{n}\right)_{n} \approx\left(p_{n}\right)_{n}
$$

and so $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)=(0,1)$ in $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$.
The situation for the map $\Lambda_{\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]}$ is completely analogous: we use Lemmata 4.13 and 4.19 instead of Lemmata 4.11 and 4.14, respectively.

Using the two maps above, we may view WRat $(K, t)$ as a subring of both $\mathcal{U} G$ and $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.$.

Lemma 4.25. If $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n}$ are asymptotically injective sequences over $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \in \operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$, then $\left(q_{n}, p_{n}\right)_{n} \in \operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ as well, and $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \cdot\left(q_{n}, p_{n}\right)_{n}=(1,1)_{n}$.

Proof. To check that $\left(q_{n}, p_{n}\right)_{n} \in \operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$, we need to show that $\left(q_{n} p_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$ stabilises and that $\left(q_{n} \overline{p_{n}}\right)_{n} K$-stabilises. The first follows immediately from the fact that $\left(p_{n} q_{n}{ }^{\dagger}\right)_{n}$ stabilises, Lemma 4.15, and the observation that $q_{n}{ }^{\dagger \dagger}=q_{n}$.

For the second, we first observe that $\operatorname{init}\left(\overline{p_{n}}\right)=\left(\operatorname{init} p_{n}\right)^{\dagger}$, and hence $\left(\overline{p_{n}}\right)_{n}$ is an asymptotically injective sequence. Therefore so is $\left(q_{n} \overline{p_{n}}\right)_{n}$. We then use Lemma 4.19.

The last statement follows directly from the definition of multiplication.

Proposition 4.26. The subring

$$
\widehat{\mathbb{Q} G}^{\phi} \cap \operatorname{WRat}(K, t)
$$

of $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1} \rrbracket\right.$ contains $\mathbb{Q} G$ and is division-closed inside $\widehat{\mathbb{Q} G}^{\phi}$.
Proof. Proposition 4.23 tells us that $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ contains $\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$, which in turn contains $\mathbb{Q} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]=\mathbb{Q} G$.

Now take a Laurent power series $x=\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} t^{i} x_{i} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q} G}^{\phi} \cap \operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ with $x_{i} \in \mathbb{Q} K$ for all $i$, and suppose that it is invertible in $\widehat{\mathbb{Q} G}^{\phi}$. Truncating the inverse we find $y \in \mathbb{Q} G$ such that $\operatorname{init}(x y)=1$. Since $x$ and $y$ lie in $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$, and since the latter object is a ring, we conclude that $x y \in \operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ as well. We thus have $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \in \operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ with $\Lambda_{\mathcal{U} K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]}\left(\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}\right)=x y$. By multiplying by suitable powers of $t$, we easily arrange for the associated power of init $q_{n}$ to be 0 , for every $n$.

We now need to worry about a pathological situation in which the powers associated to init $p_{n}$ are not 0 . It is easy to see that the power cannot be positive infinitely often, since then the sequence of terms next to $t^{0}$ in $p_{n} \overline{q_{n}}$ could not be equal to 1 in the limit. So the powers are eventually nonpositive. This remains true for every other pair of sequences $\sim-$ equivalent to $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n}$.

Since $p_{n}$ is admissible, these powers are bounded from below. We are going to modify $p_{n}$, staying in the same $\sim$-equivalence class, so that the liminf of the powers becomes zero.

Suppose that the lowest power that appears infinitely often is $k$. Since the terms next to $t^{k}$ in $p_{n} \overline{q_{n}}$ tend to 0 , we easily produce an asymptotically
injective sequence $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n}$ of projections in $\mathcal{N} K$ such that $p_{n} r_{n}$ has initial term with associated power greater than $k$ for every $n$. We also replace $q_{n}$ with $q_{n} r_{n}$, and obtain $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \sim\left(p_{n} r_{n}, q_{n} r_{n}\right)_{n}$. We repeat this process $|k|$-times, and arrive at $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n} \sim\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ with the initial terms of $q_{n}^{\prime}$ all having associated power 0 , and with the corresponding power for init $p_{n}^{\prime}$ being eventually 0 .

We claim that $\left(p_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ is asymptotically injective. Once this is shown, Lemma 4.25 will tell us that $\left(p_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ is invertible in $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$, and hence so is $x y$, finishing the proof.

To prove the claim, observe that for large enough $n$ we have

$$
\operatorname{init}\left(p_{n}^{\prime} \overline{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{init} p_{n}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{init} q_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{\dagger}
$$

since $\left(q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ is asymptotically injective. If $\left(p_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ is not asymptotically injective, then neither is

$$
\left(\text { init } p_{n}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{init} q_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{\dagger}\right)_{n} \approx(1)_{n} .
$$

This is a contradiction.

## 5. The main results

Proposition 5.1. Let $G$ be a countable discrete group and let

$$
\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

be a character. Let $C$. be a complex of free $\mathbb{Q} G$-modules which is finitely generated up to degree $n$ and such that $C_{k}=0$ for $k<0$. If

$$
H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \widehat{\mathbb{Q} G}^{\varphi}\right)=0
$$

for $j \leqslant n$, then $H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \mathcal{U} G\right)=0$ for $j \leqslant n$.
Proof. By Proposition 3.6, we see that

$$
H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{Q} G \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Q} G}^{\phi}\right)\right)=0
$$

for $j \leqslant n$. By Proposition 4.26, the ring $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{Q} G \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Q} G}^{\phi}\right)$ is a subring of WRat $(K, t)$, where $K=\operatorname{ker} \phi$ and $t \in G$ denotes an element such that $\phi(t)$ generates $\operatorname{im} \phi=\mathbb{Z}$. Using a chain contraction up to dimension $n$ we conclude that

$$
H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \operatorname{WRat}(K, t)\right)=0
$$

for $j \leqslant n$. Now, Proposition 4.24 tells us that we may view $\operatorname{WRat}(K, t)$ as a subring of $\mathcal{U} G$, and hence again using chain contractions we see that

$$
H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \mathcal{U} G\right)=0
$$

for $j \leqslant n$, and the proof is finished.
We are now ready to prove the remaining results from the introduction.
Theorem A. Let $G$ be a group of type $\mathrm{FP}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$. If $b_{n}^{(2)}(G) \neq 0$, then $\Sigma^{n}(G ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$.

Proof. As $\Sigma^{k}(G ; \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \Sigma^{\ell}(G ; \mathbb{Q})$ for all $k \geqslant \ell$, we may assume $n$ is the lowest dimension for which $b_{n}^{(2)}(G) \neq 0$. In particular, $H_{n}(G ; \mathcal{U} G) \neq 0$. Since $G$ is of type $\mathrm{FP}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$, there is a resolution $C$. of $\mathbb{Q}$ by free $\mathbb{Q} G$-modules that is finitely generated up to dimension $n$. We may compute $H_{p}(G ; \mathcal{U} G)$ as $H_{p}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G}\right.$ $\mathcal{U} G)$. It follows that $H_{n}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \mathcal{U} G\right) \neq 0$. Thus, by Proposition 5.1, for every character $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, we have $H_{n}\left(G ; \widehat{\mathbb{Q} G}^{\varphi}\right)=H_{n}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} G} \widehat{\mathbb{Q} G}^{\varphi}\right) \neq 0$. In particular, by openness of the BNSR invariants (Theorem 2.16(1)) and Sikorav's Theorem, $\Sigma^{n}(G ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$.

The proof of Theorem B is entirely analogous once one replaces $C_{\bullet}$ with $C \bullet(\tilde{X} ; \mathbb{Q})$, the chain complex of the universal cover of $X$, viewed as a chain complex of free $\mathbb{Q} \pi_{1} X$ modules.

Corollary C. Let $M$ be a closed connected $2 n$-manifold or (more generally) a finite $\mathrm{PD}_{2 n}(\mathbb{Q})$-complex. If $\chi(M) \neq 0$, then $\Sigma^{n}(M)=\Sigma^{n}(M ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$. In particular, if $M$ is additionally aspherical, then $\Sigma^{n}\left(\pi_{1} M\right)=\Sigma^{n}\left(\pi_{1} M ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=$ $\varnothing$.

Proof. Let us start with $M$ being a manifold. After passing to a finite cover we may assume that $M$ is orientable and by [KS69, Theorem III] we may assume that $M$ has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex. By [Lüc02, Remark 6.81] we have

$$
\sum_{p \geqslant 0}(-1)^{p} b_{p}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{M} ; \pi_{1} M\right)=\chi(M) \neq 0
$$

In particular, there is some $p$ where $b_{p}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{M} ; \pi_{1} M\right) \neq 0$. By Poincaré duality [Lüc02, Theorem 1.35(3)] we have $b_{2 n-k}^{(2)}(M)=b_{k}^{(2)}(M)$. In particular, we may assume that $p \leqslant n$. Now, Theorem B implies that $\Sigma^{p}(M ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$, of which $\Sigma^{n}(M)$ is a subset by Theorem 2.16(2). The argument for a $\mathrm{PD}_{2 n}(\mathbb{Q})$ complex is identical.

Suppose in addition that $M$ is aspherical. Then, $M$ is a model for $K\left(\pi_{1} M, 1\right)$ and so $b_{p}^{(2)}\left(\pi_{1} M\right)=b_{p}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{M} ; \pi_{1} M\right)$. Now, by Theorem A we have $\Sigma^{p}\left(\pi_{1} M ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=\varnothing$. The result follows from Theorem 2.16(2).

Corollary D. Let $G$ be a $\mathrm{PD}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$-group and let $k=\lceil n / 2\rceil-1$. If $\Sigma^{k}(G ; \mathbb{Q})$ is non-empty, then the Singer Conjecture holds for $G$.

Proof. Arguing by Poincaré duality as in the proof of Corollary C it suffices to show that $b_{p}^{(2)}(G)=0$ for $p \leqslant k$. Now, by hypothesis there exists $\varphi \in$ $\Sigma^{k}(G, \mathbb{Q})$. So, by Theorem A, $b_{p}^{(2)}(G)=0$ for $p \leqslant k$.

## 6. The Atiyah Conjecture and locally-indicable groups

In this section we prove versions of Theorem A and Theorem B in positive characteristic. This relies on the existence of certain Hughes-free ${ }^{1}$ skew fields.

Let $R$ be a skew field and let $G$ be a group. When it exists, we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{R G}$ the Hughes-free skew field of $R G$. We omit the technical definition of a Hughes-free skew field as we do not require it. However, we note that if it exists it is unique up to an $R G$-algebra isomorphism.

[^1]Remark 6.1. It is conjectured that $\mathcal{D}_{R G}$ exists for any skew field $R$ for all locally indicable groups [JZ21, Conjecture 1]; it is known to exist for residually \{locally indicable amenable\} groups [JZ21, Corollary 1.3]. In particular, $\mathcal{D}_{R G}$ exists for RFRS groups.

Definition 6.2. A group $G$ is agrarian over a ring $R$ if there exists a skewfield $\mathcal{D}$ and a monomorphism $\psi: R G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of rings. If $G$ is agrarian over $R$ and $X$ is a space with $\pi_{1} X=G$, then we define the agrarian $\mathcal{D}$-homology of $X$ to be

$$
H_{p}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)=H_{p}\left(C \cdot(\tilde{X} ; R) \otimes_{R G} \mathcal{D}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is viewed as an $R G$ - $\mathcal{D}$-bimodule via the embedding $R G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. We also define the agrarian $\mathcal{D}$-homology of $G$ to be

$$
H_{p}^{\mathcal{D}}(G)=\operatorname{Tor}_{p}^{R G}(R, \mathcal{D})
$$

Since modules over a skew field have a canonical dimension function taking values in $\mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ we may define

$$
b_{p}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{D}} H_{p}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) \quad \text { and } \quad b_{p}^{\mathcal{D}}(G)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{D}} H_{p}^{\mathcal{D}}(G) .
$$

If $\mathcal{D}_{R G}$ exists, then (up to $R G$-isomorphism) we have a canonical choice of $\mathcal{D}$ for each skew-field $R$.

The Atiyah Conjecture. Let $G$ be a torsion-free countable group. Then, the ring $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C} G \subset \mathcal{U} G)$ is a skew field.

Remark 6.3. If $G$ satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C} G}$ exists and is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C} G \subset \mathcal{U} G)$. This applies for instance to torsion-free subgroups of right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups [LOS12], torsion-free virtually special groups [Sch14], locally indicable groups [JZLÁ20], and more [Lin93, FL06, JZ19].
Theorem 6.4. Let $R$ be a skew-field and let $G$ be a group such that $\mathcal{D}_{R G}$ exists. Let $\varphi \in S(G)$.
(1) Suppose that $G$ is of type $\mathrm{FP}_{n}(R)$. If $b_{n}^{\mathcal{D}_{R G}}(G) \neq 0$, then $\Sigma^{n}(G ; R)=$ $\varnothing$.
(2) Let $X$ be a connected $C W$ complex with finite $n$-skeleton. If $b_{n}^{\mathcal{D}_{R G}}(X) \neq$ 0 , then $\Sigma^{n}(X ; R)=\varnothing$.
In particular, if $G$ satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture, then statements (1) and (2) hold with $R=\mathbb{C}$ and with $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C} G}$-agrarian Betti numbers.

Proof. We proceed as in [HK22, Theorem 5.10]. Let $K=\operatorname{ker} \varphi$. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be the skew-field of twisted Laurent series with variable $t$ and coefficients in the skew field $\mathcal{D}_{R K}$; here $t$ is an element of $G$ with $\varphi(t)=1$ and the twisting extends the conjugation action of $t$ on $K$. This is possible since $\mathcal{D}_{R K}$ is Hughes-free (see [JZ21] for an explanation).
We have two embeddings, firstly $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$ embeds into $\mathbb{K}$, and secondly $\mathcal{D}_{R G}$ embeds into $\mathbb{K}$. To see the first embedding, $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi}$ may be viewed as a ring of twisted Laurent series in $t$ with coefficients in $R H$. The second embedding exists because $\mathcal{D}_{R G}$ is Hughes-free and so isomorphic as an $R G$-module to $\mathcal{D}\left(R K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right] \subset \mathbb{K}\right)$ where we identify $R G$ with $R K\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$. In particular, we may view $\mathbb{K}$ as a $\mathcal{D}_{R G}$-module.

Claim 6.5. Let $C$ • be a chain complex of finitely generated free $R G$-modules such that $C_{i}=0$ for $i<0$. If $H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)=0$ for $j \leqslant n$, then $H_{j}\left(C_{\bullet} \otimes_{R G} \mathcal{D}_{R G}\right)=0$.

Proof of Claim 6.5. Since $H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \widehat{R G}^{\varphi}\right)=0$ for $j \leqslant n$ and $\widehat{R G}^{\varphi} \subset \mathbb{K}$ it follows using chain contractions that $H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \mathbb{K}\right)=0$ for $j \leqslant n$. Now, $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{R G}$ are skew-fields, and so

$$
H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \mathcal{D}_{R G}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}=H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \mathbb{K}\right)=0,
$$

forcing $H_{j}\left(C \bullet \otimes_{R G} \mathcal{D}_{R G}\right)=0$ for $j \leqslant n$, as claimed.
The theorem is now proved either by taking $C_{\bullet}$ in the claim to be a free resolution of $G$, finitely generated up to degree $n$, in the case of (1); or taking $C \bullet$ to be $C \bullet(\tilde{X} ; R)$, viewed as a chain complex of free $R G$-modules, in the case of (2). Finally, the result follows from the appropriate version of Sikorav's Theorem and openness of the BNSR invariants (Theorem 2.16(1)).

Finally, we remark that characteristic $p$ versions of Corollary C and Corollary D can be formulated and proved for groups $G$ where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_{p} G}$ exists by almost verbatim arguments - with the exception of substituting Theorems A and B with Theorem 6.4.

## 7. Some examples

In this section we detail a number of examples that both complement results already in the literature and might be of independent interest.

An elementarily free group is a group with the same first order theory as a free group. Every finitely generated such group is of type F (see [BTW07]). A poly-elementarily-free group of length $n$ is a group $G$ which admits a subnormal filtration $\{1\}=N_{0} \triangleleft N_{1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_{n}=G$ with $N_{i} / N_{i-1}$ isomorphic to a finitely generated elementarily free group. Note that poly-\{finitely generated free or surface\} groups are poly-elementarily-free.

Lemma 7.1. Let $G$ be a poly-elementarily-free group of length $n$. If $\chi(G) \neq$ 0 , then $b_{p}^{(2)}(G)=0$ for $p \neq n$ and $b_{n}^{(2)}(G)=|\chi(G)|$.

Proof. The case $n=0$ is easily dealt with, and so we may assume that $n>0$.
Let $\left(N_{i}\right)_{i}$ be a subnormal chain with every $N_{i} / N_{i-1}=G_{i}$ non-trivial and finitely generated elementarily free, and with $N_{0}=\{1\}$ and $N_{n}=G$. Note that each $N_{i}$ is a group of type F since it is an extension of such groups. Since $\chi(G) \neq 0$ and Euler characteristic is multiplicative over short exact sequences of groups of type $\mathbf{F}$, it follows that $\chi\left(N_{i}\right) \neq 0$ and $\chi\left(G_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for every $i$. By [BK17] we have $b_{p}^{(2}\left(G_{i}\right)=0$ unless $p=1$, in which case the first $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of $G_{i}$ may be positive. Since $\chi\left(G_{i}\right) \neq 0$ we have that $b_{1}^{(2)}\left(G_{i}\right)>0$.

An inductive application of [Lüc02, Theorem 6.67] yields that for every $i$ and every $p<i$,

$$
b_{p}^{(2)}\left(N_{i}\right)=0
$$

By [BTW07, Theorem B], an elementarily free group is measure equivalent to a free group. Now, inductively applying [ST10, Theorem 1.10] shows that
for every $i$ and every $p>i$ we have $b_{i}^{(2)}\left(N_{i}\right)=0$. Thus, $b_{i}^{(2)}\left(N_{i}\right)=\left|\chi\left(N_{i}\right)\right|$, and hence taking $i=n$ we obtain $b_{n}^{(2)}(G)=|\chi(G)|$.

The following result generalises [KV22, Proposition 1.5], dealing with free-by-free or surface-by-surface groups, and the first part of [KW19, Theorem 6.1], dealing with $\{$ free group of rank 2$\}$-by-free groups.

Theorem 7.2. Let $G$ be a poly-elementarily-free group of length $n$. If $\chi(G) \neq 0$, then $\Sigma^{n}(G ; \mathbb{Q})=\Sigma^{n}(G)=\varnothing$.

Proof. The result now follows from Lemma 7.1 and Theorem A.
We remark that the conclusions of Lemma 7.1 and Theorem 7.2 remain valid if $G$ is a poly- $\mathcal{X}$ group where $\mathcal{X}$ is the class of groups of type FP that are measure equivalent to a free group.

Example 7.3 (Pure mapping class group of a punctured sphere). Let $m \geqslant 3$, and let $S_{m}$ denote the $m$-punctured 2 -sphere. Recall that the pure mapping class group $\Gamma_{m}:=\operatorname{PMCG}\left(S_{m}\right)$ of $S_{m}$ is the group of mapping classes of $S_{m}$ which fix the $m$-punctures pointwise. It is well known (see e.g. [FM11, Section 9.3]) that $\Gamma_{m}$ is poly-free of length $m-2$ and each subnormal quotient in the poly-free filtration is non-abelian. Hence, $\chi\left(\Gamma_{m}\right) \neq 0$. We have verified the hypotheses of Theorem 7.2 and conclude that $\Sigma^{m-2}\left(\Gamma_{m} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=$ $\Sigma^{m-2}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)=\varnothing$.

Example 7.4 (Real and complex hyperbolic lattices). Let $\Gamma$ be a lattice in $\mathrm{SO}(2 n, 1)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$. By [Dod79] (see also [Bor85]), we have $b_{p}^{(2)}(\Gamma)=0$ except when $p=n$, in which case $b_{n}^{(2)}(\Gamma) \neq 0$. By Theorem A, we have $\Sigma^{n}(\Gamma)=\Sigma^{n}(\Gamma ; \mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$. This result was already known for 'simplest type lattices' in $\operatorname{SU}(n, 1)$, see [LP22].

## References

[Bie07] Robert Bieri. Deficiency and the geometric invariants of a group. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 208(3):951-959, 2007. With an appendix by Pascal Schweitzer. Cited on Page 9.
[BK17] Martin R. Bridson and Dessislava H. Kochloukova. Volume gradients and homology in towers of residually-free groups. Math. Ann., 367(3-4):1007-1045, 2017. Cited on Page 28.
[BNS87] Robert Bieri, Walter D. Neumann, and Ralph Strebel. A geometric invariant of discrete groups. Invent. Math., 90(3):451-477, 1987. Cited on Page 1.
[Bor85] A. Borel. The $L^{2}$-cohomology of negatively curved Riemannian symmetric spaces. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math., 10:95-105, 1985. Cited on Page 29.
[BR88] Robert Bieri and Burkhardt Renz. Valuations on free resolutions and higher geometric invariants of groups. Comment. Math. Helv., 63(3):464-497, 1988. Cited on Page 1.
[Bro87] Kenneth S. Brown. Trees, valuations, and the Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariant. Invent. Math., 90(3):479-504, 1987. Cited on Page 1.
[BTW07] Martin R. Bridson, Michael Tweedale, and Henry Wilton. Limit groups, positive-genus towers and measure-equivalence. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 27(3):703-712, 2007. Cited on Page 28.
[Dav98a] Michael W. Davis. The cohomology of a Coxeter group with group ring coefficients. Duke Math. J., 91(2):297-314, 1998. Cited on Page 2.
[Dav98b] Michael W. Davis. Correction to: "The cohomology of a Coxeter group with group ring coefficients" [Duke Math. J. 91 (1998), no. 2, 297-314; MR1600586 (99b:20067)]. Duke Math. J., 95(3):635, 1998. Cited on Page 2.
[Dod79] Jozef Dodziuk. $L^{2}$ harmonic forms on rotationally symmetric Riemannian manifolds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 77(3):395-400, 1979. Cited on Page 29.
[Far00] Michael Farber. von Neumann Betti numbers and Novikov type inequalities. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 128(9):2819-2827, 2000. Cited on Page 2.
[FGS10] M. Farber, R. Geoghegan, and D. Schütz. Closed 1-forms in topology and geometric group theory. Russ. Math. Surv., 65(1):173-174, 2010. Cited on Pages 7,8 , and 9 .
[Fis21] Sam P. Fisher. Improved algebraic fibrings, 2021. arXiv:2112.00397 [math.GR]. Cited on Pages 2 and 9.
[FL06] Daniel R. Farkas and Peter A. Linnell. Congruence subgroups and the Atiyah conjecture. In Groups, rings and algebras. A conference in honor of Donald S. Passman, Madison, WI, USA, June 10-12, 2005, pages 89-102. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2006. Cited on Page 27.
[FM11] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. A primer on mapping class groups, volume 49 of Princeton Math. Ser. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2011. Cited on Page 29.
[FV21] Stefan Friedl and Stefano Vidussi. Virtual algebraic fibrations of Kähler groups. Nagoya Math. J., 243:42-60, 2021. Cited on Page 2.
[HK22] Sam Hughes and Dawid Kielak. Profinite rigidity of fibring. June 2022. arXiv:2206.11347 [math.GR]. Cited on Pages 2 and 27.
[JZ19] Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain. The base change in the Atiyah and the Lück approximation conjectures. Geom. Funct. Anal., 29(2):464-538, 2019. Cited on Pages 7 and 27.
[JZ21] Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain. The universality of Hughes-free division rings. Selecta Math. (N.S.), 27(4):Paper No. 74, 33, 2021. Cited on Page 27.
[JZLÁ20] Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain and Diego López-Álvarez. The strong Atiyah and Lück approximation conjectures for one-relator groups. Math. Ann., 376(3-4):17411793, 2020. Cited on Page 27.
[Kie20] Dawid Kielak. Residually finite rationally solvable groups and virtual fibring. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 33(2):451-486, 2020. Cited on Pages 2 and 9.
[KLS20] Robert P. Kropholler, Ian J. Leary, and Ignat Soroko. Uncountably many quasiisometry classes of groups of type FP. Amer. J. Math., 142(6):1931-1944, 2020. Cited on Page 2.
[KS69] R. C. Kirby and L. C. Siebenmann. On the triangulation of manifolds and the Hauptvermutung. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75:742-749, 1969. Cited on Page 26.
[KV22] Dessislava H. Kochloukova and Stefano Vidussi. Higher dimensional algebraic fiberings of group extensions, 2022. Cited on Pages 4 and 29.
[KW19] Robert Kropholler and Genevieve Walsh. Incoherence and fibering of many free-by-free groups, 2019. Cited on Pages 4 and 29.
[Lea18] Ian J. Leary. Uncountably many groups of type FP. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 117(2):246-276, 2018. Cited on Page 2.
[Lin92] Peter A. Linnell. Zero divisors and $L^{2}(G)$. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences. Série I. Mathématique, 315(1):49-53, 1992. Cited on Page 5.
[Lin93] Peter A. Linnell. Division rings and group von Neumann algebras. Forum Math., $5(6): 561-576,1993$. Cited on Page 27.
[LOS12] Peter Linnell, Boris Okun, and Thomas Schick. The strong Atiyah conjecture for right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups. Geom. Dedicata, 158:261-266, 2012. Cited on Page 27.
[LP22] Claudio Llosa Isenrich and Pierre Py. Subgroups of hyperbolic groups, finiteness properties and complex hyperbolic lattices. 2022. arXiv:2204.05788 [math.GR]. Cited on Pages 2 and 29.
[Lüc94] Wolfgang Lück. $L^{2}$-Betti numbers of mapping tori and groups. Topology, 33(2):203-214, 1994. Cited on Pages 1 and 7.
[Lüc98] Wolfgang Lück. Dimension theory of arbitrary modules over finite von Neumann algebras and $L^{2}$-Betti numbers. I. Foundations. Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, 495:135-162, 1998. Cited on Page 5.
[Lüc02] Wolfgang Lück. $L^{2}$-invariants: Theory and applications to geometry and Ktheory, volume 44 of Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., 3. Folge. Berlin: Springer, 2002. Cited on Pages 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 26, and 28.
[NS86] S. P. Novikov and M. A. Shubin. Morse inequalities and von Neumann $\mathrm{II}_{1}-$ factors. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 289(2):289-292, 1986. Cited on Page 2.
[Pas85] Donald S. Passman. The algebraic structure of group rings. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Melbourne, FL, 1985. Reprint of the 1977 original. Cited on Page 6.
[Ren89] Burkhardt Renz. Geometric invariants and HNN-extensions. 1989. Group theory, Proc. Conf., Singapore 1987, 465-484 (1989). Cited on Page 1.
[Sch14] Kevin Schreve. The strong Atiyah conjecture for virtually cocompact special groups. Math. Ann., 359(3-4):629-636, 2014. Cited on Page 27.
[Sik87] J.-C. Sikorav. Homologie de Novikov associée á une classe de cohomologie réelle de degré un, 1987. Thése Orsay. Cited on Page 9.
[ST10] Roman Sauer and Andreas Thom. A spectral sequence to compute $L^{2}$-Betti numbers of groups and groupoids. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 81(3):747-773, 2010. Cited on Page 28.
[Tam57] Dov Tamari. A refined classification of semi-groups leading to generalized polynomial rings with a generalized degree concept. In Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, 1954, Vol. 1, Amsterdam, pages 439-440. North-Holland, 1957. Cited on Page 14.


[^0]:    Mathematical Institute, Andrew Wiles Building, Observatory Quarter, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK

    E-mail addresses: sam.hughes@maths.ox.ac.uk, kielak@maths.ox.ac.uk.
    Date: $10^{\text {th }}$ January, 2024.
    Mathematical subject classification 2020. Primary 20J05; Secondary 57M07, 20F65, 16S85, 16S35, 57P10, 57N65.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ No relation to the first author.

